

Table of Contents

Preface	IX
Foreword	XI
INTRODUCTION	XIII
1. A first assessment of Harvey's tract <i>De esse et essentia</i>	XIII
1.1 Harvey's position in the ontological debates after 1276	XIII
1.2 On the ancient roots of Medieval metaphysics and ontology	XIV
1.3 A sketch of the various 13 th -century positions concerning being and existence	XV
2. On the 'being vs. existence' issue in Christian ontology	XVI
2.1 Giles of Rome and the 'being vs. existence' issue	XVIII
2.2 Giles's novel view of the issue as expounded in <i>Theorem XIX</i> ...	XVIII
2.3 The impact of the 'forma totius vs. forma partis' item in Giles's ontology	XXIII
3. The novelties of Giles's approach to ontological problems	XXIV
3.1 The twofold 'actualitas'	XXIV
3.1.1 On the primary actualization	XXVI
3.1.2 On the secondary actualization.....	XXVI
3.2 Giles's conception of 'esse', causation and participation.....	XXX
3.3 The ontological status of the transcendent Forms	XXXII
4. Causation and participation in Proclus	XXXIII
4.1 Proclus's refined ontology	XXXIV
4.2 The Proclean usage of the key terms concerning participation	XXXVII
4.3 Scope distinction. Its philosophic impact in Neoplatonism	XXXIX
5. Participation and creation in Giles. Scope distinction as an interpretive device	XLII
5.1 The historical and doctrinal background	XLII
5.2 Giles's position concerning causation and participation in the Universe of being	XLIII
5.3 Giles's commentary on <i>De causis</i>	XLVII
5.4 Giles's use of Proclean scope distinction. His departure from Neoplatonic lore	L

5.5 Giles's cautious use of Platonism and Neoplatonism.....	LIII
6. Henry of Ghent as the protagonist against Giles of Rome.....	LIV
6.1 Giles, <i>Disputed Questions</i> , q. 9 (against Henry's <i>Quodlibet I</i> , 9). 6.1.1 'Esse', 'esse essentie', and 'esse existentie'.....	LVI
6.1.2 The 'distinctio intentionis'	LVII
6.1.3 The notion of participation explained as 'respectus ad Creatorem'.....	LIX
6.1.4 A collateral issue: the interpretation of Boethius's axioms in <i>De hebdomadibus</i>	LXIV
6.1.5 Giles and Henry interpreting the Boethian authorities....	LXXI
6.1.6 The eleventh question of Giles's <i>Disputed Questions</i>	LXXII
6.2 Giles, <i>Disputed Questions</i> , qq. 12 and 13 (against Henry, <i>Quodlibet X</i> ,7)	LXXIII
6.2.1 Causality critically examined.....	LXXIII
6.2.2 The harmlessness of the act-potency scheme.....	LXXIV
6.2.3 Once again Henry's intentional distinction	LXXV
6.2.4 The Ghentian respectus doctrine once again criticized.....	LXXIX
6.2.5 Free creation jeopardized by the opponents to the real distinction.....	LXXX
6.3 Henry's rejoinder to <i>Disputed Questions</i> , qq. 12-13	LXXXII
6.3.1 Henry's rejection of existence as a different 'res' supervening on essence	LXXXIII
6.3.2 Henry's defence of the intentional or focal distinction ...	LXXXIV
6.3.3 Henry's 'solus respectus' doctrine	LXXXVII
6.3.4 The analogy of being and participation.....	LXXXVIII
6.3.5 Creation as God's voluntary act	XC
6.4 Some other participants of the ontological debate	XC
7. Assessing the 'being vs. existence' debate around 1300.....	XCII
7.1 Giles of Rome unreasonably accused of wrong or inconsistent ideas.....	XCIII
7.2 Giles's putatively perilous ideas.....	XCIII
7.2.1 The notion of 'creatio ex nichilo' jeopardized?	XCIV
7.2.2 Giles's orthodoxy unduly doubted upon	XCV
7.3 On the right way of making distinctions: 're, ratione, intentione'. 7.3.1 Giles's view of the matter	XCV
7.3.2 Henry of Ghent's intentional or focal distinction doctrinally assessed.....	XCVI
7.4 The intentional (focal) distinction generally rejected and misunderstood	XCVIII
7.4.1 The proper nature of 'secundum intentionem'	CIV
7.4.2 The proper nature of the intellectual domain	CIV
7.4.3 The impact of ancient semantics in the background	CVIII

7.4.4 Opposing ‘secundum intentionem’ to ‘secundum rationem tantum’	CIX
7.4.5 Composition as the counterpart of division	CX
7.4.6 Giles of Rome’s brusque rejection of the intentional distinction.....	CXI
7.5 The ambivalence of the ‘respectus’ doctrine	CXII
7.6 The apparent doctrinal sterility of medieval altercations	CXIV
8. Harvey’s intervention in the ontological debate.....	CXVII
8.1-8.3 The basic tenets underlying Harvey’s own contribution to the debate.....	CXVII
8.1.1 The Ghentian ‘solus respectus’ doctrine attacked	CXVIII
8.1.2 The ontological key notions otherwise defined.....	CXIX
8.2 On the sameness of essence and being (‘esse’).....	CXXI
8.3 A great number of objections raised and solved.....	CXXII
8.3.1 On concrete and abstract terms	CXXIII
8.3.2 The twofold acceptance of ‘esse’ as ‘esse essentie’ and ‘esse existentie’	CXXIII
8.3.3 The twofold sense of ‘illimitatio’	CXXIV
8.3.4 The verification of ‘Hoc non est illud’	CXXIV
8.3.5 The remaining arguments adduced on this score	CXXIV
8.4 Henry’s refutation of the Aegidian real distinction	CXXV
8.4.1 The three modes of participation.....	CXXVI
8.4.2 The roles of essential and existential being misunderstood by Henry	CXXVII
8.5 Whether an essence is as such indifferent to being and non-being.....	CXXVII
8.5.1 The threefold consideration of ‘created essence’	CXXVII
8.5.2 On twofold non-being	CXXVIII
8.5.3 On the twofold indifference to being and non-being	CXXVIII
8.5.4 Some other scholars’s opinions about ontic indifference	CXXVIII
8.5.5 On the irrelevance of an essence being or not being cognized	CXXIX
8.5.6 Harvey’s own position concerning the indifference topic.	CXXIX
8.6 The utmost important question about saving creation.....	CXXXI
8.6.1 Harvey’s report of the third selected question.	
The ongoing debates about it	CXXXII
8.6.2 On subjective and objective (or terminative) potentiality ...	CXXXII
8.6.3 Once again Henry’s ‘solus respectus’ doctrine	CXXXIII
8.6.4 Once again Henry’s ‘distinctio intentionis’	CXXXIII
8.6.5 Saving creation.....	CXXXIII
8.6.6 Harvey’s final evaluation of the entire debate about saving creation	CXXXIV
8.6.7 Henry’s ‘solus res’ al (or focal) distinction radically rejected	CXXXVI

VIII TABLE OF CONTENTS

8.6.9 The authorities taken from <i>De hebdomadibus</i>	CXXXVII
8.7 The collateral question about the composition of coincidental being.....	CXXXVIII
8.7.1 Harvey's report of Henry's <i>Quodlibet X</i> , 8	CXXXVIII
8.7.2 On threefold being.....	CXXXIX
8.7.3 The principal question about substantial and coincidental being	CXL
8.7.4 On existential and subsistentia being	CXL
8.8 That neither form nor matter is properly created but their compound	CXLI
8.8.1 On participation and analogous being.....	CXLI
8.8.2 On creation jeopardized by the Aegidian real distinction..	CXLI
8.8.3 On the three doctrinal distinctions made by Henry.....	CXLII
8.9 Harvey's own position in the ongoing ontological debate	CXLIV
8.9.1 Henry's view of participation censured	CXLIV
8.9.2 Harvey's own position concerning being and non-being	CXLV
8.9.3 The basic issue of saving creation	CXLVI
8.9.4 Whether a subject-substrate's existential being coincides with its accident's	CXLVII
8.9.5 The collateral question about the creation of matter and form	CXLVII
8.9.6 Girald Odonis's testimony about the ontological controversy	CLI
8.9.7 Harvey's own metaphysics of being	CLV
9. The annexed short tract <i>De materia et forma</i>	CLVIII
10. On the edition.....	CLX
List of Manuscripts	CLXI
Bibliography	CLXII
TEXT of <i>Tractatus de esse et essentia</i>	1
TEXT of <i>De materia et forma</i>	137
INDEXES	
A Index locorum	151
B Index nominum	157
C Index verborum et rerum notabilium	161