

Crimes, Harms, and Wrongs

On the Principles of Criminalisation

A P Simester and Andreas von Hirsch



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON

2014

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xvii

Part I: Criminalisation and Wrongdoing

1. The Nature of Criminalisation	3
1.1 The Act of Criminalisation	6
1.2 The Moral Voice	10
1.3 Hard Treatment and Deterrence	14
1.4 The Role of the State	16
1.5 Conclusion	18
2. Wrongfulness and Reasons	19
2.1 A General Limiting Principle	19
2.2 Wrongfulness as the Determinant of Criminalisation?	20
2.3 Three Theses Concerning Wrongfulness	22
2.4 Other Constraints	30
2.5 Two Provisos	31

Part II: Harm

3. Crossing the Harm Threshold	35
3.1 Harms, Wrongs, and Entitlements	38
3.2 Non-constitutive Crimes and the Breadth of the Harm Principle	43
3.3 Harm-independent Wrongs	50
3.4 Conclusion	52
4. Remote Harms: the Need for an Extended Harm Principle	53
4.1 The Problem of 'Remote' Harms	53
4.2 The Standard Harms Analysis	54
4.3 What are 'Remote' Harms?	57
4.4 Remote Harm and Fair Imputation	59
4.5 What Practical Difference?	65
5. On the Imputation of Remote Harms	70
5.1 In-principle Constraints on Non-constitutive Crimes	71

5.2	Types of Remote Harms and their Governing Principles	75
5.3	Conclusion	88

Part III: Offence

6.	Rethinking the Offence Principle	91
6.1	Offence as Wrongdoing	92
6.2	What Wrongs might Invoke the Offence Principle?	97
6.3	The Multifarious, yet Unified, and Conventional Grounds of Offence	99
6.4	Distinguishing Offence From Nuisance	104
6.5	Conclusion	106
7.	The Distinctiveness of the Offence Principle	108
7.1	Harmful versus Offensive Actions	108
7.2	Criminalisation of Offence under the Harm Principle	111
7.3	A Separate Offence Principle?	117
8.	Mediating Principles for Offensive Conduct	123
8.1	Weighting Factors and Mediating Principles	124
8.2	Protecting a Constitutionally-derived Interest?	134
8.3	Concluding Thoughts	137

Part IV: Paternalism

9.	Reflections on Paternalistic Prohibitions	141
9.1	Three Absolutist Objections to Paternalistic Intervention (Civil and Criminal)	143
9.2	Direct and Indirect Coercion	149
9.3	Direct Paternalism in the Civil Law	152
9.4	The Distinctively Problematic Character of Paternalistic Crimes	156
9.5	An Exception for De Minimis Interventions?	160
9.6	Other (Non-paternalistic) Grounds for Intervention?	161
9.7	In Closing: Autonomy and Respect	164
10.	Some Varieties of Indirect Paternalism	166
10.1	Removing (Unwanted?) Options: Airbags and the Like	166
10.2	Prohibiting Important Choices: Killing on Request and the Like	168
10.3	Consent and Evidential Risks	171
10.4	Appealing to P's Inhibitions: the Theory of <i>Hemmschwelle</i>	176

10.5 Existence without Life-changes, and the Burden of Self-Reform	179
10.6 Partial Decriminalisation and the Concept of the Living Standard	181
10.7 Killing versus Other Forms of Self-injury	184
10.8 In Closing	185

Part V: Drawing Back from Criminal Law

11. Mediating Considerations and Constraints	189
11.1 Privacy	190
11.2 Regulatory Alternatives	193
11.3 Fair Warning	198
11.4 Fair Labelling and the Multiplicity of Crimes	202
11.5 Practical Constraints	208
12. Two-step Criminalisation	212
12.1 Constraining the Criminal Law	212
12.2 The Nature of TSPs	214
12.3 Bypassing Constraints and the Potential for Misuse	218
12.4 Using TSPs in Specialist Contexts: The ACP	228
12.5 Assessing the English ASBO Legislation	230
12.6 Conclusion	232

<i>Index</i>	233
--------------	-----