

# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	I
I. G. E. MOORE	5
1. The Indefinability of 'Good'	5
2. Goodness as a Property—a Quandary	8
3. The Open Question Argument	16
4. Supervenience and Evidence	21
5. Sense and Reference and Performative Force	25
6. Moore's Views on Moral Reasoning	32
7. Moore's Later Views on Moral Reasoning	35
II. CHARLES L. STEVENSON	40
1. The Two Types of Meaning	40
2. The Basic Inadequacy of Stevenson's Theory of Meaning	45
3. Dependent Emotive Meaning	52
4. Independent Emotive Meaning—Meaning as Impact	58
5. General Principles and "Persuasive Definitions"	64
6. The Paradoxical Character of Stevenson's Theory of Moral Reasoning	73
7. Stevenson on Validity	76
8. The Insufficiency of "Giving Reasons"	83
9. The Extravagance of "Persuasion"	88
10. Stevenson's Dilemma and Subsequent Developments	91
III. STEPHEN TOULMIN	97
1. The New Approach	97
2. The Theory of "Good Reasons"	102
3. Truth and Validity	107
4. The Function of Moral Discourse	112
5. Toulmin's Thesis is not a Logical Thesis	116
6. Science and Ethics, Toulmin's Thesis as a Moral Recommendation	127

IV. R. M. HARE	138
1. Imperatives and "Phrastics" and "Neustics"	138
2. Criteria of Application, Performative Force, and Kinds of Meaning	150
3. Prescriptivity, Criteria, and Reasons	160
4. Logic and Moral Reasoning	170
5. Decisions and Principles	175
6. Hare's Further Thoughts on Moral Reasoning	182
V. SUMMING UP AND LOOKING AHEAD	197
1. Towards the Proper Method	197
2. Reasons and Linguistic Performances I	202
3. Reasons and Linguistic Performances II	212
4. Expressions of Feelings, Decisions, and Moral Argument	216
5. Criteria, Evaluative Proof, and Moral Reasoning	224
6. The Variety of Performative Force and Moral Reasoning	230
7. Conclusion and the Task Ahead	239
INDEX	253