

# Contents

<i>Introduction</i> . . . . .	7
I. <i>Interpretation</i> . . . . .	9
1. Grasping what others mean . . . . .	9
2. Equivalence between expressions . . . . .	18
3. When are expressions equivalent? . . . . .	22
4. Interpreting an expression . . . . .	27
5. Setting forth possible interpretations . . . . .	30
6. Reasonable interpretations . . . . .	31
7. Interpretation of terms . . . . .	32
8. Depth of intended meaning . . . . .	34
II. <i>Precization and definition</i> . . . . .	37
1. Language as an instrument for precization . . . . .	37
2. "Precization" defined . . . . .	38
3. Prescriptive definitions . . . . .	44
4. Why precizate or define? . . . . .	51
5. How to precizate and define . . . . .	54
6. The task and pitfalls of definition . . . . .	57
7. Some sources of error in precization . . . . .	62
8. New meanings for old terms . . . . .	65
9. Fruitful concepts and appropriate terms . . . . .	67
10. Precizing catchphrases and metaphors . . . . .	68
11. Examples of the analysis of catchphrases, slogans, etc. . . . .	70
12. Deprecizing and popularizing . . . . .	71
III. <i>Analytic and synthetic sentences</i> . . . . .	73
1. The distinction . . . . .	73
2. Examples and illustrations . . . . .	76
3. Drawing analytic conclusions . . . . .	80
IV. <i>Agreement and disagreement</i> . . . . .	83
1. The theory . . . . .	83
2. Applications . . . . .	89
3. Pseudo-agreement in argument . . . . .	94

V. <i>Surveys of arguments for and against a standpoint</i> ..	97
1. Psychological and philosophical background .. . . . .	97
2. <i>Pro-et-contra</i> and <i>pro-aut-contra</i> .. . . . .	102
3. Issue-expressions .. . . . .	104
4. Argument expressions .. . . . .	106
5. Conclusion. Tenability and relevance of arguments .. . . .	108
VI. <i>Effective discussion</i> .. . . . .	121
1. Definition .. . . . .	121
2. First principle. Avoiding tendentious references to side issues	122
3. Second principle. Avoiding tendentious renderings of other people's views .. . . . .	123
4. Third principle. Avoiding tendentious ambiguity .. . . .	125
5. Fourth principle. Avoiding tendentious argument from alleged implication .. . . . .	127
6. Fifth principle. Avoiding tendentious first-hand reports ..	130
7. Sixth principle. Avoiding tendentious use of contexts .. .	131
8. Review of principles .. . . . .	132
9. The distinction between relevant argument and forms of persuasion .. . . . .	134