

Contents

<i>General Editor's Preface</i>	x
<i>Author's Preface</i>	xiii
<i>A Letter</i>	xv
1. Do We Need a Theory of Analogy?	
I IS SOME NONSENSE RELIGIOUS?	3
<i>Are religious statements intelligible (6) only to believers, or (7) to those with a 'higher' knowledge, or (9) do they all need interpreting? (12) This book an inquiry, not an apology.</i>	
II DANGER: PARLIAMENT AT WORK	7
<i>The 'wisdom' of Parliament, (9) taken in a special sense, (12) to humbug us. (17) Religious parallels, taken as (18) plain humbug, (19) venial muddle.</i>	
III ANALOGY AND ARGUMENTS	15
<i>Theory of Analogy (5) spoils arguments. (8) Borrowing of terms common. (11) Our discussion limited to Christianity. (12) Difference between analysis and interpretation. (13) Simplist objection answered.</i>	
2. The Theory in Outline	
IV MEANING WHAT WE SAY	25
<i>Religious terms bear a qualified sense, (4) necessarily, say some, as God is infinite. (11) The Postulate of Univocity and the growth of words. (16) Humpty Dumpty. (18) Definition keeps meaning public. (23) Private meaning impractical.</i>	

V SAYING WHAT WE MEAN	
<i>Analogy as semi-ambiguity, (7) stated in equation form (13) with two unknowns, (15) useless for calculation but (18) indicates a formal relationship.</i>	
3. Some Details of the Theory	
VI WHAT ELEPHANTS AREN'T	47
<i>Denials can be taken literally, but (7) will not tell us anything. (17) Few preach ineffability.</i>	
VII WHAT WE CAN'T SAY CLEARER	55
<i>Symbols we cannot see behind, (3) used in faith that creatures must resemble God. (8) What the words really mean is right, only we can't make it out (!).</i>	
VIII IS THE THEORY SUBJECT TO ANALOGY?	61
<i>Proof that there is a God wrongly thought exempt, to stop theory undermining itself. (8) The qualification 'known to be' may avoid this difficulty.</i>	
IX IRREDUCIBLES	67
<i>Reducing symbols (8) to other symbols, (10) any of which may be subject to analogy.</i>	
X BEING TOLD THE RIGHT THING TO SAY	72
<i>God as cause has all creation's perfections; (7) and the bad ones too? (14) Truths we fail to express can only be taken on trust. (17) Trust also needed for preferring some statements as failing better.</i>	
4. Effect of the Theory on Theology	
XI NOT PROVEN	81
<i>The theory is unprovable, and (6) disastrous for theology.</i>	

XII ARGUING BY METAPHOR	85
<i>Metaphor, simile, allegory. (8) Analogy useful as suggesting arguments, (10) not in place of them. (17) Explicit and implicit analogies (18) both need checking. (21) Uncheckable analogies help us to go on talking.</i>	
XIII ANALOGY SPOILS ARGUMENTS	97
<i>Theology, unlike prayer, depends on argument, which (6) analogy debilitates; (11) for not even validity can be known with unintelligible terms. (14) Views of Hume, (16) Maimonides, (17) Aquinas. (23) This flaw debunks everyone's theology.</i>	
5. Some Objections to the Theory	
XIV CONTEXTUAL DETERMINATION OF MEANING	113
<i>Mistaken argument that a complete and working language-game cannot be a big mistake. (14) Context determines meaning to varying extents. (20) Interpretation or analysis. (25) Technical terms fixed by definitions.</i>	
XV FAMILY RESEMBLANCES	125
<i>Single-essence theory of definition (4) held inadequate to games, knowledge, etc. (6) Cross-classification. (9) Stretching a point. (14) Family view applies to distinct senses of some words but (15) not to any terms.</i>	
XVI BORROWING	131
<i>In science, loan-words redeemed by observation and theory. (7) Irredeemables useful for speculation only. (10) Speculation is not science.</i>	
6. Reforming Theology to Suit the Theory	
XVII DOGMATIC SYSTEMS	139
<i>Theology, if deductive and descriptive, destroyed by analogy.</i>	

XVIII FIVE WAYS NOWHERE	143
<i>Literalism, (2) Nonsensicalism, (3) Fideism, (7) Fundamentalism (16) Mysticalism.</i>	
XIX NONDESCRIPTIVISM	152
<i>Not right because others wrong. (5) Affirmations and assertions. (11) Conflict of vows. (19) Life-challenges. (24) Revising vows. (27) Looking for challenges.</i>	
XX PROPER FAITH	161
<i>In religion, beliefs not primary. (4) How to choose a religious symbol-set. (9) Our impression of Jesus as a man may incline us to take his theology on trust.</i>	
Appendix: Texts from Aquinas's discussions of analogy	165
<i>Notes</i>	177
<i>Index</i>	185

References given in the Notes are signalled in the text by *, comments and further quotations by †.