CONTENTS

									page
Ack	NOWI	EDGMENTS .	••	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••	ix
PAF	RT I.	ORTHOD	OX I	LOGI	C ANI) BEI	NG	•••	I
Ch.	I.	Types and	Abstr	аст В	EING		•••	•••	1
	I.	The need for	r disc	ussio	n of typ	es	•••		1
	2.	Linguistic th					•••		2
	3.	The nature				em	•••		8
	4.	The logical					•••		10
	5.	The ontolog				s	•••		17
	•	(a) Full beir					•••		17
		(b) Aristotle	and t	he me	eaning o	of 'ghe	ostly be	ing '	18
		(c) Aristotle						•••	20
	6.	The identit	y of	the	logical	and	ontolog	gical	
		theories .	-	•••		•••	•••	•••	22
	7.	Ideal being			•••				23
	-	(a) Confusio	n reg	arding	the sul	bject o	f existe	ntial	•
		predic		_	•••	-	•••		23
		(b) The cond	cept a	s a res	ult of co	onceivi	ng	•••	24
		(c) The cone					•••		26
	8.	Two meanir	gs of	'exis	ts'	•••	•••	•••	28
Ch.	II.	THE ONTOL	OGICA	L Pres	SUPPOSIT	TIONS (of Logi	ıc	30
	1.	The relevano							30
	2.	The older en							31
	3.	Vacuous occ	urren	ce					32
	4.	The 'rule'	theory	of lo	gic			•••	34
	5.	Formal and					•••		35
	•	(a) The sign					in mod	ern	
		logic .						•••	35
		(b) The inv	alidity	of t	he proc	of that	a con	tra-	
		diction	impl	ies ev	ery proj	positio	n		37
		(c) Kleene's	forma	alistic	proof a	lso inv	alid	•••	38
		(d) A defend						•••	39
		(e) Intuition	ism n	ot int	uitive th	ninking	;	•••	41
		(f) The para	doxes	of in	plicatio	n	•••	•••	41

	(g) The Rule of Inference presupposes intuitiv								
	thinking								
6.	Lewis Carroll's paradox of inference								
	(a) Statement of the paradox								
	(b) Analysis of the paradox								
	(c) Solution in terms of a rule								
	(d) Solution by termination of the regress								
	(e) The regress of relations								
7.	The general argument for logical empiricism (a) A statement of the logical empiricist position (b) Failure of the argument that a logical prin-								
,-									
	ciple is trivial								
	(c) The positive, intuitive aspect of the 'analy-								
	tical'								
	(d) The negative aspect, and its destruction by								
	the positive aspect								
8.	Logical conceptualism								
9.	The mether of leads								
9.	(a) Vacuous occurrence								
	1.1 ==								
	(c) raisenood and negation								
Ch. III.	Non-Existence and Universals								
I.	Historical background								
2.	Existence of a subject-matter								
3.	The factual form of the paradox								
	NT . C .								
4∙ 5∙	FD1								
5. 6.	The more general issues of universals and								
0.	magatia m								
	negation								
PART	II. NEGATION AND UNORTHODOX								
LO	GIC								
Ch. IV.	Typ Danapov on Mrgamyov								
	The Paradox of Negation								
I.	Conceptions and theories of negation								
2.	Propositions and possibilities								
3.	Opposition								

C	റ	N	т	E	N	т	s	

vii

ption of phy pre neaning s to pres	exister xistence nce nich neg f a way esuppos g of non suppose hence of deni method	ration appout es that existence this the para	there e adox,
f non-exister ct to who ption of phy premeaning s to presition, leave fact nethods ectivist	ristence nce nich neg f a way esuppose g of non suppose hence of deni method	ration appout es that existence this the para	plies there ee adox,
f non-exister ct to who ption of phy premeaning s to presition, leave fact nethods ectivist	ristence nce nich neg f a way esuppose g of non suppose hence of deni method	ration appout es that existence this the para	there e adox,
ct to whe ption of phy premeaning so to presition, luve fact nethods ectivist	f a way esuppose of non suppose hence of deni method	gation appout es that existence this the para	there e adox,
ct to whe ption of phy premeaning so to presition, luve fact nethods ectivist	f a way esuppose of non suppose hence of deni method	gation appout es that existence this the para	there e adox,
phy premeaning sto presition, lunch we fact nethods	esupposes of non suppose hence of deni	es that -existence this the para al	there e adox,
meaning s to pres ition, l ve fact nethods ectivist	of non suppose hence of deni method	existence this the para al	adox,
s to presition, l ve fact nethods ectivist	suppose hence of deni method	this the para al	 adox,
s to presition, l ve fact nethods ectivist	suppose hence of deni method	this the para al	 adox,
ition, l ve fact nethods ectivist	hence of deni method	the para al	•••
ve fact nethods ectivist	of deni method	 al	
nethods ectivist	of deni method	al	•••
ectivist	method		
CCHOIL			
ion	•••		•••
tion me			•••
			•••
lf as a c	iemai	•••	•••
PAST	•••	•••	•••
easing t	to be	•••	•••
f decrip	tions	•••	•••
istory		•••	• • • •
ıtion		• • •	• • •
ne idea o	of mem	ory	
ie idea o	of mind	·	•••
in time	•	•••	•••
			•••
		in time	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·