

# CONTENTS

Page

PREFACE . . . . . vii

*Chapter*

1. WHY METASCIENCE? . . . . . 3

    1. Philosophy and Science . . . . . 3

    2. Philosophy *vs.* Science . . . . . 6

    3. The Main Tools of the Metascientist . . . . . 10

    4. The Metascientist's Historical Sensitivity . . . . . 12

    5. Science, the Humanities, and the  
        Metascientific Bridge . . . . . 17

    6. Metascientific Studies in the Training  
        of Scientists . . . . . 21

    7. Why Metascience? . . . . . 25

2. WHAT IS SCIENCE? . . . . . 28

    1. Introduction . . . . . 28

    2. Factual and Formal Science . . . . . 29

    3. An Inventory of the Main Features  
        of Factual Science . . . . . 36

3. WHAT IS THE METHOD OF SCIENCE? . . . . . 58

    1. Science: Verifiable Knowledge . . . . . 58

    2. Truthfulness and Verifiability . . . . . 61

    3. General Verifiable Assertions: Scientific  
        Hypotheses . . . . . 63

    4. Scientific Method: *Ars Inveniendi?* . . . . . 66

    5. Scientific Method: Technique of  
        Approaching and Testing . . . . . 70

    6. The Experimental Method . . . . . 72

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
7. Theoretical Models . . . . .	76
8. What Supports a Scientific Hypothesis . . . . .	79
9. Science: Technique and Art . . . . .	81
10. The Pattern of Scientific Research . . . . .	83
11. Extensibility of Scientific Method . . . . .	86
12. Scientific Method: Another Dogma? . . . . .	88
4. WHAT IS THE MEANING OF 'SCIENTIFIC LAW'? . . . . .	91
1. Four Meanings of the Term 'Scientific Law' . . . . .	91
2. A Nomenclature Proposed . . . . .	92
3. Illustrating the Distinctions . . . . .	95
4. Justifying the Distinction Between Laws and Law Statements . . . . .	96
5. Justifying the Need for the Remaining Distinctions . . . . .	98
6. Applying the Distinction Between Laws <sub>1</sub> and Laws <sub>2</sub> : Are Scientific Laws Necessary? . . . . .	101
7. Applying the Distinction Between Laws <sub>2</sub> and Laws <sub>3</sub> : Is Causality an Intrinsic Property of Laws? . . . . .	104
8. The Ideals of Science in Terms of the Various Levels of Meaning of 'Law' . . . . .	106
5. DO THE LEVELS OF SCIENCE REFLECT THE LEVELS OF BEING? . . . . .	108
1. The Level Structure of Reality . . . . .	108
2. Emergence and Reduction . . . . .	110
3. Existence of Ontic Levels Suggested by Cognitive Levels . . . . .	111
4. Fluidity of Cognitive Levels as Contrasted with Stability of Ontic Levels . . . . .	113
5. Heteromorphism of Being and Knowing . . . . .	114

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
6. Reproduction of Material Levels on the Conceptual One . . . . .	115
7. Origins of the Absence of Mirror-Like Correspondence . . . . .	117
8. Stability of Ontic Levels as Conditions for Relative Closure of Cognitive Levels . . .	119
9. Conclusions . . . . .	122
6. DO COMPUTERS THINK? . . . . .	124
1. Introduction . . . . .	124
2. Ideas and Their Physical Marks . . . . .	126
3. Counting . . . . .	129
4. Adding . . . . .	131
5. Pythagorean Machines . . . . .	134
6. Are Machines Aware? . . . . .	136
7. Can Induction be Mechanised? . . . . .	139
8. Do Machines Abstract? . . . . .	141
9. Can Machines Outdo Their Designers? . . .	143
10. Artificial Thought? . . . . .	146
11. Metaphors and Their Misuse . . . . .	148
12. Conclusions . . . . .	151
7. IS PHYSICS REDUCIBLE TO MECHANICS? . . . . .	153
1. Context of the Problem . . . . .	153
2. Formulation, Representation, and Interpreta- tion of a Physical Theory . . . . .	154
3. Illustrations of Proposed Structure of Physical Theories . . . . .	157
Example I. Theory: classical analytical mechanics . . . . .	157
Example II. Theory: classical electro- magnetism . . . . .	159

Example III. Theory: quantum “mechanics” . . . . .	159
4. Advantages of Multiplicity of Formulations . . . . .	160
5. Lagrangian Formulation: Applicable Irre- spective of Object’s Nature . . . . .	163
6. The Contribution of the Lagrangian Method to the Decline of Mechanism . . . . .	166
7. Conclusions . . . . .	169
8. IS COMPLEMENTARITY THE FINAL INTERPRETATION OF ATOMIC PHYSICS? . . . . .	173
1. Introduction . . . . .	173
2. What Is Complementary to What? . . . . .	174
3. Esse est Percipi . . . . .	178
4. Sozein ta Phainomena . . . . .	181
5. Objectivity and Invariance . . . . .	185
6. Are Atomic Phenomena Unanalysable? . . . . .	189
7. Hypotheses non Fingo . . . . .	194
8. Complementarity in Classical Physics? . . . . .	198
9. Is Complementarity the Only Possible Rational Interpretation? . . . . .	203
10. Conclusions . . . . .	207
9. IS THERE A CRISIS IN QUANTUM MECHANICS? . . . . .	210
1. Introduction . . . . .	210
2. Interpretations of the Dynamical Variables and Their Eigenvalues . . . . .	215
3. Interpretations of the Wave Function . . . . .	222
4. Interpretations of Heisenberg’s Uncertainties . . . . .	232
5. Nature of Microsystems . . . . .	240
6. How Many Interpretations are There? . . . . .	246

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>10. WHAT IS THE PHILOSOPHY BEHIND THE SPACE-TIME- APPROACH TO QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS?</b>	
<b>1. Introduction</b>	249
<b>2. Epistemology: A Function of Energy?</b>	249
<b>3. Observables: Just a Subclass of Physical         Entities</b>	252
<b>4. Graphs: Lines or Trajectories?</b>	256
<b>5. A Revival of Teleology?</b>	258
<b>6. Is the S-Matrix Approach Consistent with a         Space-Time Description?</b>	260
<b>7. A Pragmatic View of Physics</b>	262
<b>8. Any Physics Tomorrow?</b>	264
<b>9. Conclusions</b>	266
<b>SOURCES</b>	269
<b>INDEX</b>	271