

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
--------------	---

PART ONE · MEANING

I. UTILITARIAN ACCOUNTS: STATE OF MIND OR STATE OF THE WORLD?	7
1. Mental state accounts	7
2. Sidgwick's compromise	9
3. The actual-desire account	10
4. The informed-desire account	11
5. Troubles with the informed-desire account	16
6. Is there something between mental state and desire accounts?	18
II. UTILITARIAN ACCOUNTS: THE DESIRE ACCOUNT DEVELOPED	21
1. How may we restrict the desire account?	21
2. Why we should resist restricting it more	24
3. How value and desire are related	26
4. A formal account	31
5. Maximization and the unity of life	34
6. A (restricted) interest theory of value	37
7. Is this account still utilitarian?	38
III. OBJECTIVE ACCOUNTS	40
1. Two concepts of well-being	40
2. The need account	41
3. Can we give a tolerably clear sense to 'basic need'?	42
4. The link between need and obligation	45
5. Avoiding distortions to moral thought	47
6. A flexible need account	51
7. Neutrality, objectivity, and moral depth	53

IV. PERFECTIONISM AND THE ENDS OF LIFE	56
1. Prudential perfectionism	56
2. Moral perfectionism	60
3. The ends of life	64
4. How morality fits into prudence	68
5. What is the good point buried in perfectionism?	70
6. The primacy of prudential value theory	72

PART TWO · MEASUREMENT

V. ARE THERE INCOMMENSURABLE VALUES?	75
1. On measuring well-being	75
2. Moral incommensurables and prudential incommensurables	77
3. Forms of incommensurability: (a) Incomparability	79
4. (b) Trumping	83
5. (c) Weighting	83
6. (d) Discontinuity	85
7. (e) Pluralism	89
VI. THE CASE OF ONE PERSON	93
1. Is well-being the sort of thing that can be measured at all?	93
2. An ordinal scale of well-being	95
3. Pockets of cardinality	98
4. What powers of measurement do we actually need?	103
VII. THE CASE OF MANY PERSONS	106
1. The link between conceptions of well-being and problems of comparability	106
2. A natural proposal for comparability and a problem with it	108
3. Can the problem be solved?	111
4. Interpersonal comparisons of well-being	113
5. Intrapersonal intertemporal comparisons	120
6. Comparability on a social scale	121

PART THREE · MORAL IMPORTANCE

VIII. FROM PRUDENCE TO MORALITY	127
1. Morality as something alien	127
2. (a) Morality and self-interest	128

3. (b) Morality and personal aims	133
4. (c) Morality and rationality	141
5. The nature of the self and the source of morality	155
IX. EQUAL RESPECT	163
1. Equal respect and psychological realism	163
2. The utilitarian view of equal respect	167
3. The contractualist view of equal respect	170
4. The two views compared	183
5. A view of equal respect that allows some partiality	186
X. FAIRNESS	192
1. Two problems: fairness and the breadth of the moral outlook	192
2. The consequentialist's problem of finding a broad enough outlook	195
3. The free-rider problem and a minimal solution	206
4. The possibility of tougher, Kantian solutions	215
5. The solution of an agent-centred deontology	219
XI. RIGHTS	224
1. The need for a substantive theory	224
2. First ground: personhood	225
3. Second ground: practicalities	228
4. Third ground: the private sphere	228
5. Fourth ground: equal respect	230
6. What rights does the substantive theory yield?	232
7. The need for a second level to the substantive theory	234
8. A sampler of values that rights protect	235
9. The second level	242
XII. DESERT	254
1. The moral interest	254
2. Is desert a moral reason for action?	257
3. Is merit?	258
4. Is my own demerit?	264
5. Is the demerit of others?	270
6. The social response	272
7. Retributivism and utilitarianism	282

XIII. DISTRIBUTION	284
1. 'Distributive' justice	284
2. Role-based principles	289
3. Rights-based principles	292
4. Equality-based principles	294
5. One setting and its principles	301
6. A glance at another setting	304
7. How are the principles structured?	307
NOTES	313
BIBLIOGRAPHY	391
INDEX	403