

# Contents

## 1. Towards a Linguistic Theory

- 1.1 Aims of this analysis:** to investigate the use of language; avoidance of assumptions of 'determination' between language and other phenomena 1
- 1.2 The language of scientific exposition** as a restricted version of everyday language; need for a set of basic terms 2
- 1.3 Primitive terms:** observation (with parameters of 'length' and 'width'), action ('length' and 'types'), direction, and pattern-correlation. Any two patterns have the possibility of being joined by a pattern-correlation; there are no inherent limits on the recognition of correlations 4
- 1.4 Language patterns; internal and external meanings.** 'What is a natural language pattern?' as the sole intuition delimiting the raw material; to begin with 'a language', or with 'words' and 'sentences', is to prejudge. The meaning of a pattern in terms of the correlations emanating from it; 'class' as the name for a set of patterns with a common meaning component. The meaning of a language pattern resolvable into internal meaning—correlations with other patterns in the class of all language patterns—and external meaning—correlations with non-language patterns 5
- 1.5 Causality.** Directed action may sometimes lead to recognition of directed (i.e. causal) correlations; but an *assumption* of causality is out of place in descriptive—including historical—linguistics 6
- 1.6 Importance of starting-point and method;** the significance of conclusions depending upon their derivation; no inherent 'unique structure' 8
- 1.7 Descriptive, immanent approach.** Final object of analysis (immanent, or variously transcendent) affecting optimum methods 10
- 1.8 Descriptive priority of present-day patterns.** A contemporary description can be complete; with less data available, historical descriptions have to be extrapolated out of our knowledge of current usage 12

<b>1.9 A theory 'abstracted' from the raw material</b> , using a sampling technique; an optimum to be chosen between maximum external power (faithfulness and exhaustiveness) and maximum internal power	13
<b>1.10 Need for freedom from bias</b> in the abstraction of a descriptive theory; in contrast, hypothesis-theories exploit particular biases. Only if everyday and past academic ideas are made explicit can an abstractionalist beware of being overinfluenced by intuitions based upon them	16
<b>1.11 Popular ideas</b> about language: parts of speech; how language began; meanings of words; linguistic change; concepts; central meanings; constituent meanings of complex words; fixed logic	18
 <b>2. Opinions about Language</b>	
<b>A. PLATO AND ARISTOTLE</b>	
<b>2.1 Introductory.</b> Ideas considered in this chapter are those that have had the most general influence	23
<b>2.2 Dogmatic background</b> against which western opinions on language have been formulated; ostensibly non-dogmatic views often involving reinterpretation of dogmatic categories	24
<b>2.3 Names</b> in the fifth century B.C.—intuition of 'word'; naturalists and conventionalists; intuitions about the meanings of letters and sounds	26
<b>2.4 Knowledge.</b> Plato's 'forms'; Aristotle's 'form' and 'matter'; absolute knowledge	27
<b>2.5 Definition.</b> Plato's definition by division; definition related to meaning and cause by Aristotle	28
<b>2.6 Aristotle's 'meaning'.</b> Relations between words and things; a word having several meanings; meanings in combination; metaphors	29
<b>2.7 Nouns and verbs</b> in Plato's <i>Sophist</i> and within Aristotle's logic; cases of nouns and tenses of verbs	31
<b>2.8 Larger units.</b> Plato's primitive 'combination'. 'Speech', article and conjunction in Aristotle's <i>Poetics</i> ; implicit differentiation between 'sentence' and 'word' on internal criteria; sentence, proposition, affirmation, denial, subject, predicate, noun and verb in <i>On Interpretation</i>	32
<b>2.9 Aristotle's logical categories:</b> syllogism, premiss, term; implicit use of logical meaning; logic concerned only with form	35
 <b>B. DIONYSIUS THRAX AND THE SCHOOLMEN</b>	
<b>2.10 The Stoics</b> —'lecta'; revised parts of speech within their logic	38

- 2.11 Immanent grammars; anomalists and analogists; dealing solely with literary language (Thrax) or, in addition, with common usage (Demetrius Chlorus); criticisms by Sextus Empiricus** 39
- 2.12 Parts of speech.** Dionysius Thrax's eight parts; Varro; Priscian; Samuel Johnson; Lindley Murray; modern views 42
- 2.13 The Middle Ages: grammatical categories given logical reinterpretation; universal nature of grammatical rules; categoremata and syncategoremata** 46

**C. 1500 TO 1850**

- 2.14 The Renaissance—logic dissociated from the study of words and sentences** 49
- 2.15 John Locke's views on language.** Hobbes and the 'proper sense' of a word. Locke's 'ideas'; words related to things only through ideas; definition; distinction between 'civil' and 'philosophical' use of language; abuses of words; particles. Reid. Berkeley. Leibnitz on definition; his 'ideal language' 51
- 2.16 Kant and Mill.** Kant's analytic and synthetic. Mill's connotation and denotation 55

**D. WITTGENSTEIN AND MODERN LOGIC**

- 2.17 Survey of recent trends: immanent linguistics; revival of logic; psychology; information theory and machine translation** 57
- 2.18 Nineteenth-century logic.** Peirce's pragmatism; Morris's divisions of semiotic—pragmatics, semantics, syntactics. Recent reformers of language. Frege's 'sense', 'reference', 'idea' 59
- 2.19 Twentieth-century logic—strictly formal; within an artificial language; predicates and individuals; semantics; relation to usage; interpretation of hypotheses; meaning and synonymity; ellipsis** 62
- 2.20 Logical positivism.** Wittgenstein's *Tractatus*: ideal 'logical' language. Ayer. Carnap's formal mode and material mode: translation of equipollent sentences 67
- 2.21 Wittgenstein's *Philosophical Investigations*—logic a normative science; 'language games' throwing light on the facts of language; meaning and use** 70

**E. THEORIES OF LINGUISTICS**

- 2.22 Roget.** Dictionary making; Roget's notional thesaurus 72

<b>2.23 De Saussure.</b> Genetic linguistics. Sweet on 'form' and 'meaning'. Saussure's 'langue' and 'parole'; synchronic and diachronic approaches; a language-state as a system of signs, each with a 'signifier' and a 'signified'; the value of a sign. Ogden and Richards	73
<b>2.24 Bloomfield's approach—</b> 1914, 1933. Meaning; central and marginal meanings; six fundamental units; constituents; free and bound forms; full and minor sentences; exocentric and endocentric constructions. Bloch and Trager. Harris's procedures; Householder on the difference between theory and practice. Bazell: composition, distribution, formation; sememes and semantics. Harris's discourse analysis	78
<b>2.25 Chomsky—</b> hypotheses to explain underlying rules in language; concern with intuitions; transformational component; semantics accorded a secondary role	84
<b>2.26 Malinowski—</b> rejection of psychologistic ideas; utterance as central unit; context of culture and context of situation; ethnographic translation; meaning; importance of pragmatic use	87
<b>2.27 Halliday.</b> Firth's prosodies; priority of form. Halliday: describing observed language events; independent levels; registers; grammatical universals—four categories and four scales; continuity with traditional descriptions; lexis	91
<b>2.28 Whorf.</b> Sapir's psychologistic orientation; radical and grammatical elements. Whorf and linguistic relativity: science as based on Western Indo-European linguistic categories; the question of a universal language	97
<b>2.29 Immanence.</b> Varying purposes. Necessary empirical justification for a theory; Pike and the Summer Institute of Linguistics. Most current work mildly transcendent	101
 <b>3. Towards a Linguistic Description</b>	
<b>3.1 Recapitulation;</b> and, raw material should be textual rather than thought-up	105
<b>3.2 Subclasses.</b> Theoretical descriptions leading to general theory; optimum between maximum faithfulness for each subclass and maximum generality of theory. Long-term nature of this analysis due to vast raw material sample that must be considered	107
<b>3.3 Relative priority of external meaning.</b> The most significant language patterns—with respect to both internal and external meaning—are best recognised from external correlations; they can then be related together by internal correlations	109

- 3.4 Contrastive Z-correlations.** Within a written exposition the analysis of spoken texts can only be described, rather than demonstrated. Examples of contrastive correlations. Involved language and non-language patterns called 'exponents' of a feature in an external correlation. All correlations mutually defining within a text 111
- 3.5 Replacement Z-correlations:** examples from spoken and written texts; entirely distributional. Discreteness not assumed, and the language or non-language exponent of any feature may 'fade off' 115
- 3.6 Chunks:** unit for statement of meaning that is as self-contained and as tightly structured as possible. Choosing chunk boundaries a matter of degree: 'probability of worth' for each putative boundary. Examples of chunks; 'topic' intuition. Meaning of whole chunk must be investigated simultaneously, not in the sequence of included patterns 117
- 3.7 X-correlations.** Levels of form, situation and context. X-correlations; X-categories related to situation only through the Z-categories they govern; interrelations between X-categories; scopes. Unit of 'segment' within chunk 122
- 3.8 Y-correlations.** Explanation and examples of Y-categories. Each type of category merges into the others: examples of X/Y and X/Z similarities 126
- 3.9 Contextual thesaurus** for a subclass: generalizing Z-correlations beyond their occurring chunks. Dimensions of subclass divergence. Simple and complex correlations; methodological ordering of contextual thesauruses for Z-related subclasses. Isolated and non-isolated texts. Delicacy of situation. Potential and actual meanings 130
- 3.10 Twisted-back correlations.** 'Explanations' of new and re-employed features. Z-correlations twisted back onto formal or contextual patterns 136
- 3.11 Established and introduced categories.** Criteria for segment recognition in conversational subclass; kinds of 'introduced'; arrested segments; pragmatic activity. Detailed analysis of chunk 3A 139
- 3.12 Internal meaning:** internal units of text-chunk, text-segment and text-category; potential external meaning of an internal pattern; forms. Distinction between describing the use of, and production of, language patterns; phonology and graphology 147
- 3.13 Internal rapport:** greater than expected phonological similarity between textually consecutive patterns. Some humour as exploitation of difference between usage and ideas about usage 151
- 3.14 Translation.** Contextual correspondence in terms of situational similarity; formal equation through contextual correspondences—each relating of patterns between subclasses highly probabilistic. Translation of complex subclasses more difficult than of simple ones 153

<b>3.15 Restricted subclasses.</b> How a child learns to use language. Symbolic subclasses—chemical formulae; logical systems. Descriptive logic. Truth. A restricted subclass having a simplified thesaurus; and dependent on but not intelligible with everyday subclasses (needing to be explained in terms of everyday patterns)	156
<b>3.16 Direction.</b> Most language action is rather undirected; directed use, whilst complying more with ideas about usage, cannot be a faithful basis for a description of undirected usage. Thinking does not presuppose language, but interrelates with it if both are in an action; as thought gets more directed so language is increasingly likely to enter in. Discussions of usage are conducted in language, and conventions of usage limit the maximum degree of novelty of a description	162
<b>4. Other Attitudes</b>	
<b>4.1 Attitudes considered in relation to my aims</b>	166
<b>4.2 Belief in unique structure.</b> In fact, different analyses serve distinct purposes	166
<b>4.3 Lack of an abstractional approach;</b> disadvantages of verificational attitude	166
<b>4.4 Working in terms of discrete meanings:</b> meanings always more revealingly modelled as 'ranges'	167
<b>4.5 Lack of a descriptive outlook</b>	167
<b>4.6 Lack of a sampling procedure</b>	168
<b>4.7 Non-exhaustiveness fallacy.</b> Final exhaustiveness is unlikely in empirical work, but this ideal can be approached more and more nearly	168
<b>4.8 No use of probabilities</b>	169
<b>4.9 Improper attention paid to 'faithfulness';</b> it is as pointless to sacrifice faithfulness for no gain in simplicity, as it is to sacrifice simplicity for no gain in faithfulness	169
<b>4.10 The idea of language as inadequate or redundant</b> normally stemming from incomplete attention paid to some aspects of language use. (Distinction between ambiguous reasoning and ambiguity of interpretation)	169
<b>4.11 Assumption that language patterns determine, or are determined by, other patterns.</b> Correlational attitude more general than either of these views, and includes each as a particular case. Survey of main objects of consideration of a selection of language investigators	170

- 4.12 Belief in concepts:** concepts are based on everyday ideas and graphological/phonological shapes of language patterns; so that concepts, determined by internal patterns, have no place in a correlational treatment 172
- 4.13 Exploitation of everyday units.** 'Word' and 'morpheme' delimited on quite different grounds. 'Sentence' analogised from written to spoken language; many spoken units can be delimited on intonational criteria, so that intonational delimitation of spoken 'sentence' is insufficient justification for the unit 173
- 4.14 Reinterpretation of traditional units**—historical survey of important reinterpretations. Irrelevance of metaphysical considerations to linguistic meaning 175
- 4.15 Hypostatization of traditional categories;** 'traditional unforgettables' taken as specifying inherent nature of language 179
- 4.16 The 'formal' fallacy in linguistics.** Theoretical justification for a category can differ from the intuitive criteria it is based on; so that different theoretical justifications have to be given for what is recognised as the same unit in different languages. Proscribing external considerations merely places more reliance on everyday semantic intuitions, if results are to be intuitively acceptable 179
- 4.17 Misleading ideas about translation.** Internal patterns can only be translated through consideration of correspondent external correlations 183
- 4.18 The 'formal' fallacy in logic**—using logical meaning to rewrite sentences into logical form; logical form based on everyday ideas about certain relations (out of language use) so that logical formulae can only be understood by implicit reference to everyday patterns 184
- 4.19 Fallacies involving definition.** Meaning comes from usage and explanation; explanations can employ *any* available patterns. Usual definitions employ very restricted patterns and give poor indication of meaning 186
- 4.20 Generalizations out of logic**—the difference between actual usage and ideas about 'ideal' usage can lead to impossible prescription of logical results onto everyday subclasses 187
- 4.21 Lack of attention to external meaning;** overdependence on everyday ideas inherent in Carnap's translation from material mode to formal mode 188
- 4.22 Erroneous views on the dependence of linguistics on logic.** Logical prescription of restricted patterns must be abstracted from the descriptive logic of subclass concerned 188

<b>4.23 Overdependence on intuitions about 'individual languages'.</b> Internally similar subclasses can have quite different external meanings attached to their patterns. Ideas about 'individual languages' are often in part politically based	189
<b>4.24 Unawareness of the need for 'restricted patterns'.</b> The intuition regarding 'what is scientific?' emphasises a need for unambiguous reasoning; and this demands restrictions from everyday ranges of meaning	190
<b>4.25 Confusing the production of, and the use of, language patterns;</b> and two sorts of formalist 'mechanical procedure': for mechanically analysing sentences in terms of just internal meanings; and for generating the sentences of a language by application of a set of rules	191
<b>4.26 Reliance on everyday 'meaning'.</b> Everyday use of 'meaning' so wide that all parts of the range cannot be formalized simultaneously: explicit restriction has to be employed and mentioned in scientific work	193
<b>4.27 Overattention to odd, isolated examples.</b> The meaning of a pattern in terms of the meanings of the chunks it occurs in. Consideration of odd sentences, apart from textual or situational environments, leads to statements of 'central meaning', shedding little light on the way patterns are actually used	193
<b>4.28 Analogy from directed to undirected action.</b> Most language activity is undirected, with speakers not thinking particularly about the details of what they are doing: to analogue models from directed action (rules, etc.) is unrevealing	194
<b>4.29 Beginning with generalities.</b> Potential meanings are to be abstracted from recurring parts of many actual meanings. Traditionally, the reverse procedure has been followed: notional potential meanings being hypothesized to apply in every actual instance, and idiosyncratic elements ignored	195
<b>4.30 Summing-up.</b> Origin of this enquiry. Foundational nature of linguistics—one of the most important, but at present least developed, sciences. Usage cannot be easily changed by directed action; prescription is outside a scientist's terms of reference. My analysis is long-term, dictated by the eventual goal—faithful description of language use	197
<b>Appendix—write-out of chunks 1A to 5A</b>	200
<b>Bibliography</b>	204
<b>Index</b>	211