

CONTENTS

PREFACE	v
R. H. POPKIN: SCEPTICISM, THEOLOGY AND THE SCIENTIFIC REVOLUTION IN THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY	1
DISCUSSION:	
B. A. O. WILLIAMS: Science and theology in the seventeenth century	29
A. MOMIGLIANO: The greater danger – science or biblical criticism?	33
R. H. POPKIN: Reply	36
W. W. BARTLEY, III: THEORIES OF DEMARCATION BETWEEN SCIENCE AND METAPHYSICS	40
DISCUSSION:	
J. O. WISDOM: Refutation by observation and refutation by theory	65
J. GIEDYMIN: Empiricism, refutability, rationality	67
A. E. MUSGRAVE: On a demarcation dispute	78
K. R. POPPER: Remarks on the problems of demarcation and of rationality	88
W. W. BARTLEY, III: Reply	102
M. BUNGE: THE MATURATION OF SCIENCE	120
DISCUSSION:	
L. L. WHYTE: Science and philosophy of science	138
K. R. POPPER: Non-apparent depth, depth, and pseudo-depth	139
E. H. HUTTEN: Maturity, depth and objectivity in science	140
M. BUNGE: Reply	142
G. MAXWELL: SCIENTIFIC METHODOLOGY AND THE CAUSAL THEORY OF PERCEPTION	148
DISCUSSION:	
W. V. QUINE: Comment on Maxwell's paper	161
K. R. POPPER: Is there an epistemological problem of perception?	163

A. J. AYER: Are all our common sense judgements false? . . .	164
W. C. KNEALE: Secondary qualities and the causal theory of perception	165
G. MAXWELL: Reply	167
 W. YOURGRAU: A BUDGET OF PARADOXES IN PHYSICS . . .	 178
DISCUSSION:	
W. V. QUINE: Comment on Yourgrau's paper	200
K. R. POPPER: On so-called paradoxes in physics	202
W. YOURGRAU: Reply	204
 R. SUSZKO: FORMAL LOGIC AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF KNOWLEDGE	 210
DISCUSSION:	
W. V. QUINE: Definability in enlarged universes	223
J. GLEDYMIN: Revolutionary changes, non-translatability, and crucial experiments	223
R. SUSZKO: Reply	227
 L. PEARCE WILLIAMS: EPISTEMOLOGY AND EXPERIMENT: THE CASE OF MICHAEL FARADAY	 231
DISCUSSION:	
G. J. WHITROW: Faraday and mathematics	240
M. BUNGE: The various functions of experiment	240
K. R. POPPER: Mathematics, observation, and physical thought	242
L. PEARCE WILLIAMS: Reply	244
 P. G. BERGMANN: THE GENERAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY - CASE STUDY IN THE UNFOLDING OF NEW PHYSICAL CONCEPTS	 249
DISCUSSION:	
G. J. WHITROW: Principle of covariance or principle of impotence?	261
M. BUNGE: Material frames and imperceptible "observables"	261
P. G. BERGMANN: Reply	263
 B. JUHOS: THE INFLUENCE OF EPISTEMOLOGICAL ANALYSIS ON SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH: 'LENGTH' AND 'TIME' IN THE SPECIAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY	 266

DISCUSSION:

- G. J. WHITROW: On an argument of Professor Juhos 274
 M. BUNGE: The nonoperational nature of theoretical concepts 274
 B. JUHOS: Reply 276

P. SUPPES: INFORMATION PROCESSING AND CHOICE
 BEHAVIOR 278

DISCUSSION:

- J. C. HARSÁNYI: Bayesian theory and randomization – resolution
 of a paradox 300
 L. KISH: Randomization in sample design 301
 P. SUPPES: Reply 303

J. C. HARSÁNYI: INDIVIDUALISTIC AND FUNCTIONALISTIC
 EXPLANATIONS IN THE LIGHT OF GAME THEORY: THE
 EXAMPLE OF SOCIAL STATUS 305

DISCUSSION:

- L. P. FOLDES: A note on individualistic explanations 322
 J. C. HARSÁNYI: Reply 337

R. A. H. ROBSON: THE PRESENT STATE OF THEORY IN
 SOCIOLOGY 349

DISCUSSION:

- J. C. HARSÁNYI: Reward values as explanatory variables in social
 theories 371
 R. A. H. ROBSON: Reply 374

E. GELLNER: THE NEW IDEALISM – CAUSE AND MEANING
 IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCES 377

DISCUSSION:

- P. COHEN: The very idea of a social science 407
 J. W. N. WATKINS: Anthropomorphism in social science 423
 E. GELLNER: Reply 426

J. O. WISDOM: ANTI-DUALIST OUTLOOK AND SOCIAL
 ENQUIRY 433

DISCUSSION:

- M. BODEN: Interactionism – could experiments decide? 440
 R. WOLLHEIM: Dr. Wisdom on the mind–body problem: a comment 442
 J. O. WISDOM: Reply 446