

# CONTENTS

## I. THE DOCTRINE OF CONTENT AND OBJECT

I. The doctrine of content in relation to modern English realism	1
II. Brentano's doctrine of intentionality. The distinction of the idea, the judgement and the phenomena of love and hate	3
III. The use of the word 'content' in Brentano and in the early writings of Meinong. The 'immanent' and the 'transcendent' object	6
IV. Twardowski's account of the content of ideas. His arguments for the existence of contents	8
V. The content in Twardowski does not resemble the object nor any part of it	12
VI. Meinong's arguments to prove that the object of an experience is not a part of it. The pseudo-existence of objects	17
VII. Argument for the existence of contents. Contents and acts	22
VIII. Contents are not mental images or sense data, but are often wholly unlike their objects	26
IX. Can contents be perceived or are they merely inferred? The pseudo-object. Reasons for thinking that contents can be perceived	28
X. Examples from Meinong to prove that contents are in some cases immediately perceived	32
XI. The relation of content to object	35
XII. Meinong's theory fails to explain completely how states of mind pass beyond themselves, but is the basis of an important type of research	37

## II. THE PURE OBJECT AND ITS INDIFFERENCE TO BEING

I. The pure object lies outside of the antithesis of being and non-being. Whether it is or not, makes no difference to what it is. Comparison with Russell's theory	42
II. Non-existent objects appear to be involved in negative facts. Arguments to prove (a) that there are genuine negative facts, (b) that they do involve non-existent objects. Reasons for our prejudice against non-existent objects	50

## III. THE THEORY OF OBJECTIVES

I. Objectives and facts	59
II. The expressive and significant use of words. Sentences express judgements or assumptions, but they mean objectives. Objectives and objecta	60
III. Ameseder's characterization of objectives	69
IV. Objectives are objects of higher order	71
V. Objectives are incapable of existence	73
VI. Objectives do not depend for their being on superordinate objectives	75
VII. The relation of objectives to time	77
VIII. Negativity a characteristic of objectives, not of objecta	81
IX. Comparison of the theory of objectives with the theory of propositions	83
X. Objectives cannot be reduced to characteristics, relations, or concrete objects	89
XI. Objectives are not complexes. Meinong's account of the relation of objectives to complexes	94
XII. Criticism of Russell's theory of judgement as a many-termed relation	100

## IV. THE MODAL MOMENT

I. The relation of an objective to its factuality	102
II. 'Watered down' and 'full-strength' factuality and existence. The function of the modal moment	103
III. 'Full-strength' factuality or existence cannot be assumed to be present where it is not present	106
IV. How it is possible to think of the factuality of the unfactual, or the existence of the non-existent	109
V. Mally's theory of determinates	110

## V. OBJECTS OF HIGHER ORDER

I. The distinction between existence and subsistence	113
II. Meinong's defence of analysis	116
III. Meinong's criticism of the reduction of characteristics to relations of similarity	118

## CONTENTS

xix

IV. Development of Meinong's theory of the relation of an object to its characteristics	123
V. Relations and their fundamenta	128
VI. Meinong's original psychological theory of relations. The distinction between ideal and real relations. His abandonment of this theory	132
VII. The Principle of Coincidence. Real and ideal complexes	137
VIII. Meinong's later theory of the distinction between ideal and real relations	142
IX. A relation is not a constituent of the complex it generates	145
X. Comparison of Meinong's conception of complexes with the theories of Russell and Wittgenstein	146
XI. Criticism of Meinong's conception of relations and complexes as 'objects of higher order'. Meinong's views on continua	148
<b>VI. THE THEORY OF INCOMPLETE OBJECTS</b>	
I. All objects which have being are completely determined	152
II. Discussion of Meinong's views on relational properties	153
III. Introduction of incomplete objects	156
IV. Incomplete objects and the Law of Excluded Middle	159
V. Reasons for our inattention to incomplete objects. An ambiguity in the word 'universal'	162
VI. Implexive being and so-being	166
VII. The reference by way of being and the reference by way of so-being	170
VIII. The completed object. Difficulties of Meinong's doctrine	174
IX. Analytic and synthetic judgements	180
X. Treatment of Meinong's problem in the light of Mally's theory of determinates	182
<b>VII. THE MODAL PROPERTIES OF OBJECTIVES</b>	
I. The modal properties of objectives are wrongly attributed to judgements	185
II. Factuality and truth	186
III. Necessity and the experience of understanding. The two species of necessity	187
IV. Inhesive and adhesive factuality	192

V. Possibility and probability. Degrees of possibility	195
VI. The upper and lower limits of possibility	199
VII. The modal level of objectives	202
VIII. Internal and external possibility	204
IX. Possibilities and the Law of Excluded Middle	205
X. The function of incomplete objects in possibilities	209
XI. The application of possibility to complete objects	214
VIII. THE APPREHENSION OF OBJECTS	
I. The relation of the theory of apprehension and knowledge to the theory of objects	218
II. Active and passive experiences. The function of ideas	219
III. The ideas of production and their functions	222
IV. Experiences of thinking. Moments involved in the judgement, assumption, and surmise	225
V. Meinong's theory of presentation	230
VI. Meinong's theory of our reference to objects	238
VII. Meinong's theory of our awareness of complexes	245
VIII. Implicit and explicit apprehension	248
IX. Meinong's theory of knowledge. The experience of 'evidence'	251
X. The evidence of surmises. The epistemology of perception, memory, introspection, and induction	256
IX. VALUATION AND VALUES	
I. Meinong's main writings on value-theory	264
II. Doctrine of the <i>Psychologisch-ethische Untersuchungen</i> . Valuations as existence-feelings	266
III. The 'psychological presuppositions' of valuation. Opposition of value-feelings to sense-feelings, aesthetic feelings, and knowledge-feelings. Relation of value-feelings to judgements of instrumentality, of non-existence, and to modal distinctions. Relation to the distinction of Ego and Alter. Errors in valuation	269
IV. Analysis of moral value: distinctions of the meritorious, the correct, the allowable, and the censurable. Types of egoistic and altruistic motivation. Use of binomial formulae to express principles of moral valuation	275

V. Moral valuation measures good-will, ill-will, and indifference. Relation to valuations of justice. The 'subject' of moral valuation as the 'environing collectivity' of unconcerned persons. Connexion with obligation and moral ascription	281
VI. Doctrine of the <i>Grundlegung</i> . Feelings as the main, and desires as subsidiary value-experiences. Valuations as being-feelings. Relation to knowledge-feelings, aesthetic feelings, and sense-feelings	289
VII. The counter-feelings: joy-in-being and sorrow-in-non-being, sorrow-in-being and joy-in-non-being. Meinong holds that such counter-feelings ought rationally to be of equal intensity whatever they in fact are. This view of Meinong's has absurd consequences. 'Potentialization' of the concept of value	295
 X. DIGNITATIVES AND DESIDERATIVES	
I. The notion of emotional presentation and its relation to the modern 'emotive' theory. The doctrine of emotional presentation an unexpected by-product of Meinong's doctrine of content. Reconsideration of this doctrine	303
II. Emotional presentation may be self-presentation or other-presentation, whole-presentation or part-presentation: only emotional part-presentation can introduce us to peculiar objects. Instances of such emotional part-presentation. Corresponding instances of desiderative part-presentation	307
III. Dignitatives and desideratives and their relation to objectives and objecta	313
IV. That dignitatives and desideratives are objects does not prove that they have being. That they have being can be known only by an evident judgement, not by an emotional experience. The evidence for the being of dignitatives and desideratives is <i>a priori</i> , but, since we only possess it feebly, may be eked out by a quasi-empirical approach	315
V. Meinong's views regarding absolute dignitatives (dignities) and absolute desideratives (desiderata)	319
 XI. APPRAISAL OF MEINONG	
I. Contemporary difficulties in being interested in the problems and answers of Meinong	322
II. Revival of Plato's cave-image to assist in the appraisal of Meinong's philosophical contributions	328

III. The main merit of Meinong is that he is a thoroughgoing and impartial empiricist and phenomenologist: he describes the world as we actually experience it, and not as distorted by logical assumptions or doctrines of origin	331
IV. Meinong is great in recognizing that the non-existent, the non-factual, and the absurd are essential elements in describing the experienced world. He is also great in recognizing a necessary connexion between features attributed to objects and interior phases of experience	337
V. Meinong's realistic approach to all the entities of reason and unreason cannot however be sustained.	340
VI. 'Thinking' and its cognates are not relational expressions nor can they be said to express relations, since relations only obtain when all their terms exist. The non-existent and the false are not constituents or terms of relations in our thoughts or beliefs, nor in anything else, though they enter indispensably into the description of our thoughts and beliefs and of objects in the world	343
VII. Meinong's faults are those of the elementaristic psychology of his day. Merits of Meinong as a philosopher of the 'understanding'. His resemblance to G. E. Moore	345
INDEX	349