

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD . . . . .	vii
INTRODUCTION : PRELIMINARY HISTORICAL REMARKS .	ix
<sup>CHAP.</sup>	
I. WHAT PHILOSOPHY WAS . . . . .	1
II. WHAT PHILOSOPHY IS . . . . .	8
III. WHY PHILOSOPHY IS REGARDED AS A HOMOGENEOUS SCIENCE . . . . .	15
IV. THE NATURE AND ORIGIN OF PHILOSOPHIC INTEREST	22
V. CHARACTERISTICS OF PHILOSOPHIC THOUGHT .	28
VI. THE PSYCHOLOGY OF PRACTICAL PHILOSOPHY .	37
VII. METHOD . . . . .	43
VIII. PROFESSION . . . . .	46
IX. MONEY . . . . .	60
X. LOVE AND MARRIAGE . . . . .	67
XI. SOCIAL INTERCOURSE . . . . .	72
XII. POLITICS . . . . .	81
XIII. SYNOPSIS : THE PHILOSOPHER IN PRACTICAL LIFE .	87
XIV. CONDITIONS OF NORMAL BEHAVIOUR IN PRACTICAL LIFE . . . . .	91
XV. IS UNSUITABILITY FOR PRACTICAL LIFE DUE TO THE ABSENCE OR WEAKNESS OF INTERESTS ? . . .	98

# CONTENTS

CHAP.	PAGE
XVI. PHILOSOPHERS AS MEN WITH STRONG IMPULSES .	106
XVII. IS THE PREDOMINANCE OF THE PHILOSOPHIC INTEREST THE CAUSE OF UNSUITABLENESS FOR PRACTICAL LIFE ? . . . . .	115
XVIII. A PROVISIONAL STATEMENT OF THE HYPOTHESIS OF INHIBITION . . . . .	120
XIX. PSYCHIC INHIBITIONS . . . . .	123
XX. PHILOSOPHERS AS PEOPLE WITH INTENSE INHIBITIONS	137
XXI. CAN UNSUITABLENESS FOR PRACTICAL LIFE BE EXPLAINED BY THE INHIBITION HYPOTHESIS ? .	163
XXII. POETRY AND RELIGION . . . . .	168
XXIII. NEUROSES . . . . .	175
XXIV. PHILOSOPHER AND ARTIST . . . . .	185
XXV. PHILOSOPHER, MAN OF RELIGION, NEUROTIC .	191
XXVI. PHILOSOPHER AND SCIENTIST: ORIGINALITY .	197
XXVII. THE CAUSES OF ABNORMALLY INTENSE INHIBITIONS	202
XXVIII. THE CONSEQUENCES AS THEY AFFECT THE PSYCHO- LOGY OF PHILOSOPHY . . . . .	211
XXIX. CONCLUSION: THE PSYCHO-HYGIENIC VALUE OF PHILOSOPHY . . . . .	218
BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	223
INDEX OF NAMES . . . . .	226