

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION xiii

ESSAY I

THE VULGAR NOTION OF RESPONSIBILITY IN CONNEXION
WITH THE THEORIES OF FREE-WILL AND NECESSITY I

Object of the inquiry (1-3). The common notion of Responsibility (3-9); irreconcilable with 'Freedom' (9-13); and with rational prediction (14-19). Ground of the objection to rational prediction (19-24). Common opinion and Necessitarianism (24-6); irreconcilable as to Punishment and Responsibility (26-33). Reason of this twofold (33-41).

Note A. Compulsion and Responsibility (42). Note B. Character, how far fixed (50). Note C. Freedom (55).

ESSAY II

WHY SHOULD I BE MORAL? 58

Question rests on a dogmatic preconception (58-61); which is opposed to the moral consciousness (61-2); and is unreasonable (63-4). The End is self-realization (64); as is shown from morality (65); and from psychological considerations (66-8). It means realizing self as a whole (68-74); and an infinite whole (74-81).

Note to Essay II (82).

ESSAY III

PLEASURE FOR PLEASURE'S SAKE 85

Happiness a vague phrase (85-6). Common opinion on pleasure (86-7). Hedonism irreconcilable with morality (88-93). Illusory nature of the Hedonistic end (93-8). *My* pleasure as the end gives no rule of life (99-103). And the pleasure of *all* is illusory (103-4); opposed to morality (104-5); and gives no practical guidance (105-111); it is dogmatically postulated (111-13); and irreconcilable with Hedonistic psychology (114-16). Further modifications of Hedonism. Qualitative distinction of pleasures is, in both its forms, untenable (116-22). Further criticism on Mill's view (123-4). Results (124-6).

Note on Mr. Sidgwick's view of Hedonism and Utilitarianism (126).

Note to Essay III (130).

ESSAY IV

DUTY FOR DUTY'S SAKE 142

The end is the Good Will (142-3). This is the universal form (144-5). What 'ought' means (146-7). Principle of non-contradiction (147-8). This contradicts itself (148-51). Duty and duties (152-3). Psychological objection (153-4). Practical uselessness of non-contradiction (154-6). Collision of duties unavoidable (156-9).

ESSAY V

MY STATION AND ITS DUTIES 160

Present result (160-1). Advance to a higher point of view (161-3). Individualism criticized (163-73). The end is realization as member of a community (173-4). The moral organism seems to be the solution of ethical problems (174-83). Satisfactoriness of this view (183-9). Relative and absolute morality (189-92). Intuitive character of moral judgements (193-9). Morality not a mere private matter (199-202). Criticism of the above view (202-6).

Note. Rights and Duties (207).

ESSAY VI

IDEAL MORALITY 214

No limit to the moral sphere (214-19). Content of the ideal self (219-24). Collisions of moral elements (224-8). Morality not simply equal to self-realization (228). What it is (228-35). Difficulties as to its nature (235-44). In what sense is it approximative? (244-50).

ESSAY VII

SELFISHNESS AND SELF-SACRIFICE 251

Statement and refutation of the argument for general selfishness, which is founded on the confusion as to 'motive' (251-62). What is the idea of a pleasure? (261-3). Psychological genesis of the voluptuary (263-74). What selfishness is (274-6). General problem of the good and bad self (276-7). Hereditary theory insufficient (278-9). Content of good and bad selves (279-80). Genesis of the good self (280-93). Genesis of the bad self (293-7). Origin of morality proper (297-303). Nature of the morally good and bad selves (303-9). What self-sacrifice is (309-12).

CONCLUDING REMARKS 313