CONTENTS

	FOREWORD	ix
I	PYRRHO'S SCEPTICISM ACCORDING TO SEXTUS EMPIRICUS	r
	EMITINICO5	1
	I. INTRODUCTION	Ĭ
	2. SHORT ACCOUNT OF SEXTUS'S PYRRHONISM	2
	3. THE SCEPTICAL WAYS OF ANNOUNCEMENT	7
	4. THE DOGMATIC WAYS OF ANNOUNCEMENT	12
	5. NEUTRALITY TOWARDS SUBJECTIVIST PHENOMENALISM 6. THE SCEPTIC'S REFERENCE TO THE EXISTENCE OF OPPOSITE	15
	VIEWS	2 I
	7. THE MATURE SCEPTIC, A MODERATELY KEEN SEEKER AND DOUBTER?	25
	8. THE SCEPTIC, A PHILOSOPHER?	2.8
	9. DEFINING SCEPTICISM	33
II	THE PSYCHOLOGICAL POSSIBILITY OF	
	SCEPTICISM	36
	I. INTRODUCTION	36
	2. IS SCEPTICISM MORE LOGICALLY THAN PSYCHOLOGICALLY IMPECCABLE?	37
	3. DO THE SCEPTIC'S ACTIONS BETRAY HIS DOGMATISM?	39
	4. CAN THE SCEPTIC BELIEVE?	47
	5. MUST THE SCEPTIC BE A DOUBTER?	53
	6. IS THE SCEPTIC UNPERTURBED BY MODERN SCIENCE? 7. IS THE SCEPTIC SENSITIVE TO THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN	54
	REAL AND APPARENT?	55
	8. GENERAL OUTLOOKS GENERATE SCEPTICISM	57
	9. CAN WE ASSUME THAT SEXTUS AND HIS LESS ARTICULATE FRIENDS FULFIL THE REQUIREMENTS?	58
ш	SCEPTICISM AND POSITIVE MENTAL HEALTH	61
	I. INTRODUCTION	61
	2. CONFRONTATION WITH SIX CRITERIA OF POSITIVE MENTAL HEALTH	61

CONTENTS

3. ALLEGED SCEPTICISM OF ST. AUGUSTINE AND OTHERS	67
4. MODERATE OR FRAGMENTARY SCEPTICISM OF THE	
UNPHILOSOPHICAL	69
5. ENCOURAGING A SCEPTICAL BENT OF MIND: CAN IT EVER	
BE RIGHT?	7 0

IV CONCEPTUAL COMPLEMENTARITY OF EVIDENCE AND TRUTH REQUIREMENTS 75

I. INTRODUCTION	75
2. RESTRICTIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS	77
3. SHIFT FROM PLAIN ANNOUNCEMENT OF KNOWLEDGE	
TO JUSTIFYING CLAIM TO SAY ONE KNOWS	78
4. REQUIREMENTS OF 'KNOWING' INVOLVING THREE	-
QUESTIONS. CORRESPONDING QUESTIONNAIRES	82
5. THE 'THIRD-PERSON' AND 'FIRST-PERSON' QUESTIONNAIRES	84
6. CONCLUSION ON 'REACHING' KNOWLEDGE	92
7. CONCEPTS OF KNOWING WITHOUT A SEPARATELY SATISFIED	-
TRUTH REQUIREMENT	93
8. SUGGESTION NOT TO USE KNOWLEDGE EXPRESSIONS	
UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES	98
9. USE OF 'KNOW' AND DEFINITENESS OF INTENTION	102
IO. CONCLUSION ON THE COMPLEMENTARITY OF TRUTH AND	
EVIDENCE	108

V DIALECTICS OF MODERN EPISTEMOLOGICAL SCEPTICISM 110

I. INTRODUCTION	110
2. STANDARDS RELATIVE TO STAGE OF DIALOGUE	113
3. MAXIMUM REQUIREMENTS	116
4. MAXIMUM STRENGTHENING OF REQUIREMENTS IN THE	
FACE OF MISTAKES	119
5. MAXIMUM AND ABNORMAL REPERCUSSIONS	121
6. 'I KNOW NOTHING'. GENERAL LINGUISTIC COUNTER-	
ARGUMENT	125
7. CIRCULARITY OF THE SCEPTIC'S ARGUMENT	126
8. THE CONCLUSIVENESS OF CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE. SOCIAL	
AND LINGUISTIC RIGHTNESS AND TRUTH	127
9. EXAMPLES OF THERE BEING SOMETHING WE KNOW OR CAN	
KNOW	130
O. EXAMPLES OF EVIDENCE FUSING WITH THE EVIDENCED	135
I. INCORRIGIBILITY AND FALLIBILITY	136

CONTENTS

12.	CORRIGIBILITY AS A REQUIREMENT OF SCIENTIFIC KNOW-	
	LEDGE	138
13.	CAN THE INCORRIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT EVER BE SATIS-	
	FIED?	140
14.	INCORRIGIBILITY OF TRUTH	147
15.	CRITICAL INSPECTION OF ARGUMENTS IN FAVOUR OF	
	INCORRIGIBILITY AS UNATTAINABLE	148
16.	PENULTIMATE CONCLUSION ON MODERN SCEPTICISM	152
17.	ULTIMATE CONCLUSION ON MODERN SCEPTICISM	156
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	158
	INDEX	163