

CONTENTS

<i>References</i>	xi
Introduction	1
I Everydayness	11
1. <i>Everydayness: an account of our basic condition, and introduction to Heidegger's terms</i>	13
a. <i>How the task of describing everydayness arises</i>	13
b. <i>Everydayness as Being-in-the-world: the world</i>	16
c. <i>Everydayness as Being-in-the-world: Being-in</i>	25
d. <i>Being-in-the-world as care</i>	45
2. <i>Everydayness serves as a standard for evaluating Epistemology</i>	46
a. <i>Initial account of the theoretical attitude, and of epistemology</i>	46
b. <i>How everydayness is used in criticism of epistemology</i>	52
3. <i>Everydayness is determined as a starting-point by the nature of Phenomenology</i>	55
II Epistemology	60
1. <i>Epistemology arises out of tendencies within Everydayness</i>	62
a. <i>Review of relevant points; summary of this part's argument</i>	62
b. <i>Why we move from everyday concern into the theoretical attitude</i>	65
c. <i>How this attitude's motivating intent determines its general character</i>	72
d. <i>An example of theorizing: Descartes on corporeal substance</i>	75
2. <i>Epistemology: a critique of the traditional philosophical project</i>	80
a. <i>Summary of this part's argument</i>	80
b. <i>Epistemology is the theoretical attitude's interpretation of itself as present-at-hand</i>	82

c. <i>An instance of such self-interpretation: Descartes on thinking substance</i>	85
d. <i>Being-in-the-world cannot be grasped as present-at-hand</i>	90
e. <i>Being-in-the-world is basic in a way that prevents the theoretical attitude from achieving the transparency it seeks</i>	97
f. <i>Epistemology's limitation to the present-at-hand explains its tendency towards a sceptical conclusion</i>	113
g. <i>Does this diagnosis of epistemology imply scepticism?</i>	117
3. <i>Epistemology's method differs crucially from Phenomenology's</i>	121
III Phenomenology	126
1. <i>Phenomenology confronts deficiencies avoided in Everydayness</i>	128
a. <i>The unsatisfactoriness intrinsic to our Being: guilt and the nullities</i>	128
b. <i>How anxiety faces this guilt</i>	135
c. <i>How falling flees this guilt: preoccupation with the ontical</i>	137
d. <i>How falling flees this guilt: bias towards the present</i>	142
e. <i>Two routes falling flees along</i>	150
f. <i>Everydayness is a modification of authenticity, and not vice versa</i>	152
2. <i>Phenomenology does not attempt to eliminate these deficiencies, as does Epistemology</i>	155
a. <i>How falling can motivate the theoretical attitude</i>	155
b. <i>Epistemology can face the nullities that falling flees</i>	167
c. <i>Yet epistemology is an inadequate response to these nullities</i>	172
d. <i>A parallel diagnosis applies to traditional ethics</i>	177
3. <i>Phenomenology: the positive task of the new philosophical method</i>	179
a. <i>The Being of tools and objects is revealed by breakdowns in our relations to them</i>	179
b. <i>Anxiety is the breakdown in which our own Being is revealed</i>	182
c. <i>Phenomenology does not aim at a merely theoretical grasp of our existential structure</i>	186

Contents

ix

- d. Phenomenology requires facing the nullities, in the position of authenticity* 193
- e. Only in authenticity is our temporal stretch transparent to us* 196
- f. General review, through a contrast between Heidegger and Aristotle on philosophy's aim* 203

Index

209