

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. LOGICAL APPRAISAL

- | | PAGE |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| 1. Logical appraisal; and other kinds | 1 |

I. INCONSISTENCY

- | | |
|---|---|
| 2. Words of logical appraisal have connected meanings | 2 |
| 3. Contradicting oneself | 2 |
| 4. Statements, not sentences, are inconsistent with one another | 3 |
| 5. Incompatible predicates | 5 |
| 6. Negation | 7 |
| 7. Definition | 9 |
| 8. Linguistic rules and logical relations | 9 |

II. REASONING

- | | |
|--|----|
| 9. Arguing, proving, inferring: validity | 12 |
| 10. Not all 'valid steps' are steps in reasoning | 13 |

III. THE LOGICIAN'S SECOND-ORDER VOCABULARY

- | | |
|--|----|
| 11. Use to be made of logician's higher-order words | 15 |
| 12. Contraries and contradictories | 16 |
| 13. Entailment and inconsistency | 19 |
| 14. Logically necessary statements; entailment and necessity | 21 |
| 15. A problem | 24 |
| 16. Logical equivalence; subcontrariety; necessary and sufficient conditions | 24 |

Chapter 2. FORMAL LOGIC

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1. The formal logician is not a list-maker | 26 |
|--|----|

I. GENERALITY. THE USE OF FORMULAE

- | | |
|--|----|
| 2. The generality of logicians' entailment-statements | 26 |
| 3. The use of formulae | 27 |
| 4. Entailment between sentences and between formulae | 31 |
| 5. The range of values of a variable | 32 |
| 6. 'Inconsistent', 'logically necessary' applied to formulae | 33 |
| 7. Misinterpretations of ' \supset ' | 35 |
| 8. The point of using ' \supset ' | 39 |

II. FORM

- | | |
|---|----|
| 9. The limitations implied by 'formal'. Rules for representative patterns | 40 |
|---|----|

10. Formal analogies and verbal frameworks	43
11. A formal analogy without a framework : transitivity	45
12. Logical constants	47
13. Logical forms of statements explained in terms of formal analogies	49
14. Logical form and logicians' formulae	52
15. Mistakes about logical form	53

III. SYSTEM

16. The logical ideal of system, and its effects	56
17. Methods of systematization	58
18. Abstract systems and their interpretation	61

Chapter 3. TRUTH-FUNCTIONS

I. TRUTH TABLES

1. Formation-rules	64
2. The meanings of the symbols of the system	65
3. Use of the tables in determining truth-conditions	70
4. Use of the tables for establishing logical relations and testing formulae	71
5. Some laws of the system	74

II. TRUTH-FUNCTIONAL CONSTANTS AND ORDINARY WORDS

6. The customary identifications	78
7. ' \sim ' and 'not'	79
8. '.' and 'and'	79
9. ' \supset ' and 'if'	82
10. ' \equiv ' and 'if and only if'	90
11. ' \vee ' and 'or'	90

III. TRUTH-FUNCTIONAL CONSTANTS AND LOGICAL RELATIONS. THE DEDUCTIVE SYSTEM OF TRUTH-FUNCTIONS

12. ' \supset ' and 'entails'	93
13. The stroke-function	96
14. The deductive system of truth-functions	97
15. Examples of derivations	100

Chapter 4. CLASSES: AN ALTERNATIVE INTERPRETATION OF THE TABULAR SYSTEM

1. The system as an abstract exercise	102
2. An alternative interpretation. Class-expressions and class-constants	103
3. Class-statement formulae	107
4. The use of the tables to establish logical rules about class formulae	111
5. Parallels with ordinary speech. Re-expression of rules as entailments between class-membership formulae	119

CONTENTS

ix
PAGE
120

6. Limitations of this use of the mechanism of the tables

Chapter 5. PREDICATIVE FORMULAE AND QUANTIFIERS

I. THE ELEMENTS OF THE PREDICATIVE SYSTEM

1. Relations between the class and truth-functional systems 125
2. The paraphrasing of class-statement formulae in a new notation 129
3. Proofs in the new notation 134
4. Multiple quantification and relational predicates 138

II. THE PREDICATIVE SYSTEM AND ORDINARY SPEECH : PRELIMINARIES

5. The distinction between individual and predicative expressions : referring and describing 143
6. Claims made on behalf of the symbolism of the system 146
7. ' $(\exists x)$ ' and tenses 150

Chapter 6. SUBJECTS, PREDICATES, AND EXISTENCE

I. THE TRADITIONAL SYSTEM OF CATEGORICAL PROPOSITIONS

1. Formulae 152
2. Laws 155
3. Systematization 160

II. THE ORTHODOX CRITICISMS OF THE SYSTEM

4. The dilemma of existence 163
5. The detail of the dilemma 165

III. SUBJECTS AND PREDICATES

6. A formalistic solution 170
7. The realistic solution : presupposition and entailment 173
8. Class-membership and class-inclusion. Subject-predicate statements 179
9. The relevance of the analysis of general statements as conjunctions of singular statements 183
10. Singular statements beginning with 'the' and 'a' 184
11. "'Exists' is not a predicate" 190
12. Limitations of the traditional system 192

Chapter 7. GENERAL STATEMENTS AND RELATIONS

I. GENERAL STATEMENTS

1. The truth-conditions of general subject-predicate statements 195
2. Different kinds of general sentences 195
3. Law-statements 198

II. RELATIONS

5. Transitivity	202
6. Symmetry	204
7. Relations and their converses	204
8. The strained use of 'relation'	205
9. Attempts to 'reduce' relational inferences	207
10. The logical forms of arguments	209

Chapter 8. TWO KINDS OF LOGIC

I. FORMAL LOGIC : APPLICATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

1. Entailment-rules and referring rules	211
2. Logically ideal sentences	214
3. The use of modern logical symbolism in systems of necessary truth and natural law	217

II. TYPE-DIFFERENCES AND FORMATION-RULES

4. Formation-rules and rules of grammar	224
5. Non-grammatical type-restrictions	226
6. The metaphysical belief in basic types	227

III. THE LOGIC OF LANGUAGE

7. The fluidity of language	230
8. The logic of ordinary speech	231

Chapter 9. INDUCTIVE REASONING AND PROBABILITY

I. SUPPORT AND PROBABILITY

1. Non-deductive reasoning	233
2. Degrees of support : the use of 'probable', etc.	237
3. Support and relative frequencies	241
4. Support and numerical chances	242
5. Degrees of support for generalizations	244
6. No precise rules for the assessment of evidence	247

II. THE 'JUSTIFICATION' OF INDUCTION

7. The doubt and its source	248
8. The desire for a supreme premise of inductions	251
9. The attempt to find a mathematical justification	252
10. The senselessness of the demand	256
11. Any successful method of finding things out must be inductively supported	258
12. The belief that the soundness of induction requires a 'presupposition' may rest upon the confused conflation of two questions	260

INDEX