

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
PREFACE	V
A. INTRODUCTION	XI
I. THE BASIS OF THE TEXT	XI
II. THE AUTHENTICITY OF THE <i>Tractatus de Praedestinatione et de Praescientia Dei et de Futuris Contingentibus</i>	XII
B. THE TRACTATUS DE PRAEDESTINATIONE ET DE PRAESCIENTIA DEI ET DE FUTURIS CONTINGEN- TIBUS	1
C. PROBLEMS CONNECTED WITH THE TRACTATUS ..	41
I. PRELIMINARY EXPLANATIONS	43
1. Definitions	43
2. Preliminary Statements	49
II. THE MAIN THESES OF THE <i>Tractatus</i>	50
1. Predestination or reprobation are no real relations representing entities distinct either from God or from the predestined or reprobated person	50
2. Any proposition concerning a future contingent fact, whether or not it is formulated in the future tense, is a contingent proposition	52

	<i>Page</i>
3. God is omniscient as regards all future contingent facts	54
4. God's knowledge of future contingent facts is immutable	56
III. OCKHAM AND THE PROBLEM OF A THREE-VALUED LOGIC	58
1. Ockham's Interpretation of <i>Peribhermenias</i> 18a33-19b4	59
2. Consequences of This Opinion: Elements of a Three-valued Logic	62
3. Ockham's Own Position as Regards a Three-valued Logic	66
4. The Dubious Principle: <i>Omne quod est, quando est, necesse est esse</i>	70
5. Historical Notes on the Three-value Thesis	75
D. APPENDICES	89
I. OCKHAM, <i>Ordinatio</i> , (LIB. 1., <i>Comment. in Sent.</i>), dd. 38-39	91
II. OCKHAM, <i>Expositio Super 1^m Librum Peribhermenias</i> , c. 9 ^m	104
III. OCKHAM, <i>Summa Logicae</i> , P. III (III), c. 30 ^m	114
IV. PETRUS AUREOLI, <i>In I. Sententiarum</i> , D. 38, ART. 3 ^{us}	118
V. GREGORIUS A RIMINI, <i>Lectura in I. Sententiarum</i> , D. 38, Q. 1	125