TABLE OF CONTENTS.

BOOK I.

Of Thought (Pure Logic).

INTRODUCTION.

					110.15
Section I.	Coherent and coincident ideas				ĩ
". II.	. Necessary connexion of ideas generally			•	1
" III.	. Connexion of ideas established by thought				2
" IV.	. Is thought an activity rather than an event?				3
" V.	Or, if an activity, has it any specific function in givin	g tru	th?		3
" VI.	. Thought in man compared with the other animals	•			3
,, VII.	. Thought adds the notion of coherence to connected is	deas			5
,, VIII.	Have the forms of thought a real validity?				6
" IX.	Provisional answer, assuming thought to be a means	to kn	owled	lge	6
" X.	Logical distinguished from Psychological enquiries				7
" XI.	Order of enquiry. Pure or formal logic				8
,, XII.	Applied logic				8
"XIII.	Knowledge				9

CHAPTER I.

THE THEORY OF THE CONCEPT.

A. The formation of impressions into ideas.

1.	Conversion of impressions into ideas the first work of thought	t	•	•	10
2.	This is effected by the logical act of naming		•		10
3.	Which may be called an act of objectification			4	11
4.	In the specific forms of the parts of speech				I 2
5.	Relation of substantive, verb, adjective, to substance, event, p	roper	ty		13
6.	Relation of thought to its linguistic expression	•	•		14
7.	The other parts of speech. Prepositions and conjunctions				15
8.	Judgment not rightly treated in pure logic before conception	•	•	•	17

B. Position, distinction, and comparison of the matter of simple ideas.

9.	Further	react	ion of	f the	ought	in p	oositin	g, di	isting	uishir	ng, a	nd co	mpar	ing	
	ideas				•	•	•	-	•					•	18
10.	'Positio	n'; p	ractic	ally	insep	arab	le fron	n 'ot	ojectif	icatio	n ' (§	3)			19
11.	Position	impli	es an	d rei	nders	poss	ible di	stinc	tion						19

		PAGE
12.	Comparison; supposed to involve falsification of impressions by genera-	
	lisation	20
13.	Such a view ignores the true logical import of the act	2 I
14.	Comparison implies a 'universal'; this not a universal 'concept'	22
15.	Like all universals, it is not strictly an 'idea'	23
16.	Distinction of instances of a universal implies idea of more and less	24
17.	Also those of unity and multiplicity, and greatness and smallness	25
18.	Logic is not concerned with the origin of these ideas, or with deductions	
	from them	25
19.	In the operations of (B) as compared with those of (A) thought may be	
	called receptive	26
	C. The formation of the concept.	
20.	Synthesis in consciousness : its various forms	28
21.	The form of 'conception' implies the idea of a ground for the synthesis .	29
	Comparison of different instances and observation of the same instance	
	under different circumstances	30
23.	'Abstraction' involved in comparison does not mean mere omission of	
	differences	31
24.	The formation of the second universal (logical concept) implies the	
	first (§ 14)	32
25.	Terminology: 'content,' 'extent,' 'co-ordination,' 'subordination,' 'sub-	
	sumption'	33
26.	'Concept' is rightly applied to individual things	34
27.	Universals not necessarily concepts; they may be general images	34
	Marks not merely co-ordinated, but also mutually determined, in a concept	
29.	Subordination of species to genus, and subsumption of species and genus	
	under a mark	36
30.	Species = a universal which can be imaged, genus = one which can only	
	be formulated	37
31.	Inverse ratio of content and extent, how far true or important	38
	Kinds of marks: 'differentia,' 'property,' accident'	40
33.	Idea of a complete system of concepts	41

Transition to the Form of the Judgment.

34.	Change make	s such	an	idea	unrea	alisabl	le,	and	leads	from	concep	otion	to	
	judgment			•						•	•	•		42
35.	Conception its	self inv	70lve	s que	stion	s whic	ch i	also	lead t	o judg	ment	•		42

CHAPTER II.

THE THEORY OF THE JUDGMENT.

Preliminary observations on the meaning and customary division of judgments.

36.	Judgment expresses a relation between the matter of two ideas			44
37.	This is indicated in the terms Subject, Predicate, and Copula .	•		45
38.	Judgments differ according to the different senses of the copula	•	•	45
39.	The logical sense of the copula is not affected by the quantity	of	the	
	judgment			46

xii

												Р	AGE
40.	Nor by its	quality		•				•			•		47
41.	Nor by its	modality	, as or	dinarily	under	stood	ι.			•			48
42.	True apod	eictic mo	dality	is found	in the	e thre	e forn	ns of	relati	ion	•		49
43.	Not of co	urse that	any for	m of ju	lgmen	it can	guara	intee	its m	ateri	al tru	th	50
44.	So-called	problem	atic ju	dgments	are	not	truly	proł	olema	tic,	nor a	are	
	questio	ns or pra	ayers .	· .			•		•	•			51
45.	The only												÷
	judgme	ents ⁻ .			•	-	•			•			52
46.	The ordin												53

THE SERIES OF THE FORMS OF JUDGMENT.

A. The impersonal judgment. The categorical judgment. The principle of identity.

47.	The categorical judgment is logically preceded by the impersonal .	•	54
48.	Which does not express mere perception, but implies logical activity	•	54
49.	Relating a present perception to a permanent though unexpressed subject	t	55
50.	Difficulty as to the logical import of the categorical judgment .	•	56
51.	It does not mean the <i>identity</i> of the subject and predicate	•	56
52.	Nor is it explained by saying that the one is <i>predicated</i> of the other	•	57
53.	Nor by reference to the metaphysical relation of substance and attribute	•	58
54.	In fact, the judgment is indefensible against the principle of identity	•	59
55.	The logical interpretation of that principle	•	59

B. The particular judgment; the hypothetical judgment; the principle of sufficient reason.

56.	The difficulty applies to analytical as well as to synthetical judgments .	61
57.	The justification of categorical judgments is that they are really identical	62
58.	Illustrations	62
59.	But in that case they are not judgments at all in the real sense	65
60.	This dilemma is met in the hypothetical judgment by making the identity	,
	conditional	. 66
61.	The idea of a condition implies the assumption of a general coherence of	E
	things	. 66
62.	Logical possibility and meaning of such coherence : cause and reason	. 67
63.	True formulation of the 'principle of sufficient reason'	. 68
64.	The principle of identity alone is no source of knowledge	. 69
65.	The princ. rat. suff. not a necessity of thought, but a fact of all mental	1
	experience	. 70
66.	Responsiveness of thinkable matter to thought illustrated from § 19	• 72
	C. The general judgment; the disjunctive judgment; the dictum de omni et nullo and the principium exclusi medii.	
67.	The connexion between reason and consequence must be universal .	. 72
6 8.	This universality is expressed in the 'general' judgment	• 73
69.	Further determination of the predicate in the disjunctive judgment .	• 74
70.	True formulation of dictum de omni et nullo	• .75
71.	Princ. excl. med. is only one case of the 'law of disjunctive thought'	• 75
72.	Its true logical formulation	. 76

ļ	Appen	ıdix	on	imme	diat	e infe	rence	5.				
	75. Inference ad subalterna	tam		•		•			•			80
	76. Ad subalternantem			•	•				•	•		8 o
	77. Ad contradictoriam .										•	81
	78. Ad contrariam and ad s	ubce	ontr	ariam		•						81
	79. Inference by conversion											81
	80. Conversion of universal	jud	gme	ents					•			82
	81. Conversion of particula	r juo	lgm	ents								83
	82. Conversion by contrapo	sitic	m			•						84

THE THEORY OF INFERENCE AND THE SYSTEMATIC FORMS.

Over K Preliminary remarks upon the Aristotelian doctrine of Syllogism.

	83.	Formation of the four figures	•	•	•	•	85
	84.	General conditions of valid inference in them .	•	•	•		86
	85.	Special conditions in each figure. The first figure	•	•	•		86
	86.	The second figure		•			87
	87.	The third figure, when both premises are affirmative		•	•		88
	88.	The third figure, when the premises are mixed .		•	•	•	88
	89.	The third figure, when both premises are negative .		•	•		89
	90.	The fourth figure is superfluous	•		•		89
	91.	Superiority of the first to the other figures	•				90
	92.	Reduction of the other figures to the first					91
	93.	Syllogisms with hypothetical premises involve no new	v prin	ciple		•	92
•	94.	Difference of the relation between reason and cons	equen	ce fro	m th	at	
		between cause and effect	•		•		93
	95.	Syllogisms with disjunctive, copulative, or remotive	premis	ses		•	94
	96.	Chains of inference	•	•	•		95

A. Syllogistic inferences; inference by subsumption; inference by induction; inference by analogy.

97. The Aristotelian or subsumptive syllogisms merely make explicit what is	
implied in the disjunctive judgment	96
98. Such inference by subsumption involves a double circle	97
99. Illustrations when the premises are (1) analytical, (2) synthetical .	97
100. It must be possible to establish (1) major and (2) minor premises with-	
out full knowledge	99
101. Inductive inference as solution of the first requirement	100
102. The defect of induction (as of subsumption) lies in the practice, not the	
principle, of it	101
103. Inference by analogy as solution of the second requirement	102
104. Defect and justification of analogical inference	103

 $\frac{1}{2}$ is

Rent

B. Mathematical inferences; inference by substitution; inference	e
by proportion; constitutive equation.	PAGE
105. The previous forms of inference deal only with universal subjects as	nd
predicates	• 104
106. Thus do not satisfy the needs of real thinking, which requires them	
be specific	. 105
	-
of concepts	. 106
for logic	. 106
109. It implies <i>substitution</i> of an analysed for an unanalysed middle term	
110. Remarks on the symbolisation of logical relationships	· 107 · 108
111. Inference by substitution is only strictly applicable to pure quantities	
112. Still, as an ideal of thought in general, it has its place in logic .	. 110
113. Extension of it to incommensurable objects in the form of <i>proportion</i>	. 111
114. Illustration from Geometry	. 112
115. Limitation of inference by proportion. Ultimate disparity of things	. 113
116. Proportion between marks is modified by the constitution of the who	-
subject	. 114
117. Inference by proportion thus leads to the idea of constitutive concepts	. 115
118. Which however are only fruitful in Mathematics, where all is con	
mensurable	. 116
119. To deal with disparate marks, we must go on to <i>classification</i> .	. 117
	•
C. The systematic forms: classification; explanatory theory; th	e
dialectical ideal of thought.	
120. 'Concept' = not a mere sum of marks, but a sum connected accordin	g
to a rule	. 117
to a rule . 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism	. 117
to a rule . 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism 122. Hence the idea that <i>any</i> group of <i>common</i> marks forms a concept	. 117 n' 118 . 119
to a rule. 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism 122. Hence the idea that <i>any</i> group of <i>common</i> marks forms a concept 123. Whereas the true concept is found only in the union of <i>essential</i> marks	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119
to a rule. 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism 122. Hence the idea that <i>any</i> group of <i>common</i> marks forms a concept 123. Whereas the true concept is found only in the union of <i>essential</i> mar 124. The distinction of essential from unessential leads to comparison and	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd
to a rule . 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism 122. Hence the idea that any group of common marks forms a concept 123. Whereas the true concept is found only in the union of essential man 124. The distinction of essential from unessential leads to comparison an classification .	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120
to a rule . 121. Many such concepts are formed unconsciously by 'psychical mechanism 122. Hence the idea that any group of common marks forms a concept 123. Whereas the true concept is found only in the union of essential mar 124. The distinction of essential from unessential leads to comparison an classification . 125. Artificial or 'combinatory' classification .	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121
to a rule	. 117 a' 118 . 119 ks 119 ad . 120 . 121 . 122
to a rule	. 117 a' 118 . 119 ks 119 ad . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125 we 126
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 hd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125 ks 126 . 127
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 ks 119 . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125 sse 126 . 127 . 128
to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125 . 126 . 127 . 128 at
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 . 123 . 125 we 126 . 127 . 128 at . 130
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 hd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 . 125 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 . 125 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er . 131
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 hd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 . 125 ke 126 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er . 131 P-
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 nd . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 . 125 . 125 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er . 131 p- . 132
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 ks 119 . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 st 123 st 123 . 125 swe 126 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er . 131 . 132 . 133
 to a rule	. 117 n' 118 . 119 ks 119 ks 119 . 120 . 121 . 122 . 123 ot 123 ot 123 . 125 swe 126 . 127 . 128 at . 130 er . 131 P- . 133 . 134

x'v

		PAGE
139.	The development of concepts is conditioned by something other than	
	the concepts themselves	1 35
	This condition must find a place in a complete logical system	136
141.	No theory of 'emanation' of one concept from another can dispense	
	with it	137
142.	And indeed classification itself leads beyond the particular concept to	
	universal laws of connexion between its marks	1 38
143.	This does not contradict, but confirms, the previous representation of	
	the concept as determining the connexion of its marks	I 39
144.	A thing is the result, according to universal laws, of the sum of its	
	conditions	140
145.	This view dominates modern science, which explains, in contrast with	
	ancient, which classifies. Mechanical character of the former	140
146.	Unsatisfactoriness of its ideal	142
147.	Antagonism between æsthetic and scientific view of things. Possible	
	reconciliation	143
148.	'Laws' are not external to reality, but constitute its very nature	144
149.	Form of the ultimate ideal of thought	145
	Supposed analogy of the living organism. Hegelianism. 'Speculation'	
	Value of the 'speculative' ideal. It belongs to logic, but points	
	beyond it	

BOOK II.

Of Investigation (Applied Logic).

152 –3 .	Prefatory	Remarks											149	,
-----------------	-----------	---------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-----	---

CHAPTER I.

THE FORMS OF DEFINITION.

154	Ideas, how communica	ahle										152
		LOIC	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	104
155.	Poetry and rhetoric	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	152
156.	Uncertainty of commu	nicati	on	•	•	•	•	•		•		153
157.	Explanation by abstra	ction		•							•	154
158.	This the only method	for si	mple	ideas							•	155
159.	Explanation by constr	uctior	1. I	Descrip	otion		• •	•				156
160-	1. Description and def	initio	n	•		•		•			•	157
162.	Nominal and real defi	nition	s	•			•	•	•	•	•	160
163.	Three faults to avoid		•							•	•	161
164.	Elegance and brevity	•		•		•	•		•	•		162
165.	Evil of superfluity				•			•		•		164
166.	Popular definitions	•					•			•		165
167.	Genetic definition		•			•			•	•	•	166
168.	The end of definition i	is the	conc	eption	1	•			•		•	167

CHAPTER II.

OF THE LIMITATION OF CONCEPTIONS.

169-70.	We must	start from	the cone	ception	ıs al	lready	expre	essed	in la	nguag	е.	169
171. Di	sparate gr	oups of sen	sations	•			•	· .	•			170

xvi

3.91.4824902.5

mo

•													PAGE
172.	Popular languag	e justi	ified	•							•	•	172
173.	Relations betwee	en the	mem	bers	of	these g	roups	5. T	astes.	Co	lours	•	173
174.	Scale of sounds	•	•			•	•				•	•	175
175.	Heat-sensations	•	•		•				•		•		176
176.	Arbitrariness of s	scale	•			•				•	•		177
177.	Illustrations from	n prac	tical	life	•				•	•			178
178.	Moral and aesthe	etic di	stinc	tions	ι.	•							179
179.	Transition from	conca	ve to	con	vex				•				180
180.	The distinctions	remai	n in s	spite	of	the tra	nsitio	n fro	m on	e con	ncepti	on	
	to another		•	•						•	•	•	181
181.	And though ther	e be a	tern	a in	the	series (that s	atisfie	s boti	h con	ceptio	ns	182
182.	Illustrations		•				•		•				183
183.	Development					•		•	•		•		185

CHAPTER III.

SCHEMES AND SYMBOLS.

184.	The notion of a universal so	cheme	e or s	system	ofc	oncep	tions				187
185	Pythagoreanism	•	•	•		•	•				188
186.	Grandeur of its general idea	ι			•		•				189
187.	Poverty of the particular fo	rm in	whi	ch it i	is exp	resse	d				191
188.	Numbers and things .					•					192
189.	Other kindred speculations		•								193
19 0.	Demand for symmetry	•	•		•						194
191-	5. The Hegelian dialectic						•				197
196.	The scheme of Leibnitz		•			•	•				204
197.	Is such a scheme possible?			•							205
198.	What it would require .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	207
	Note on the Logical Calcul	lus									208

CHAPTER IV.

THE FORMS OF PROOF.

covery and proof. Proof of particular and of universal propositions 224
of rests on axioms. Axioms how distinguished
fore starting to prove a proposition we must know that it is worth
roving, i.e. that (a) the ideas are definite
their combination possible
the proposition true in fact
t forms of proof distinguished
1) First direct progressive proof from the conditions of T to T . 231
Second direct progressive proof from T to its consequences 233 •
First direct regressive proof from T to its conditions 234
(4) Second direct regressive proof from the consequences of T to $T = 236$
First indirect progressive proof
Second indirect progressive proof
irect regressive proofs
b

xvii

214-5. What is ordinarily called proof by analogy is really proof by su	ıb-	TAGE
sumption	•	24I
216. The mathematician's proof by strict analogy is also proof by subsumpti		245
217. Analogy and the Dictum de omni et nullo	•	247

CHAPTER V.

THE DISCOVERY OF GROUNDS OF PROOF.

218. No rules for the discovery of	'a p	proof,	but	the pr	obler	n itsel	f ma	y giv	е	
a clue		•	•	•	•	• .	•	•	•	250
219. Illustrations from Geometry		•	•	•	\$	•	•	•	•	251
220-5. The conditions of equilibrin	um	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• ·	252
226-7. The principle of the lever		•	•	•			•	•	•	257
228-9. Rotatory motion		•	•	•	•	•	•	• ,	•	261
230. A line without mass cannot b	e m	oved	•	•	•	•	•	•	• `	264
231-5. The parallelogram of force									•	266
236. Difficulty of analysis		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	273
237. Suppressed.										
238-9. The Taylorian theorem .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	275

CHAPTER VI.

FALLACIES AND DILEMMAS.

240.	Premisses must be true in order to prove a conclusion	282
241.	And must not covertly involve the conclusion	283
242.	Preposterous Reasoning confuses the principiatum as causa cognoscendi	
	with the principium as causa essendi	283
243.	Ambiguity of middle term mostly due to the confusion of a relative	
1. A.	with an absolute truth	284
244.	Illustration of the above from moral precepts, all of which have their	
	exceptions	285
~		
245.	As have also mechanical formulae, which become unmeaning, when	
245.	As have also mechanical formulae, which become unmeaning, when pushed to extremes	287
		287 288
246.	pushed to extremes	•
246.	pushed to extremes	•
246. 247.	pushed to extremes	288
246. 247.	pushed to extremes	288
246. 247. 248. 249.	pushed to extremes	288 289

CHAPTER VII.

UNIVERSAL PROPOSITIONS DERIVED FROM PERCEPTIONS.

251.	Inductive m	etho	ods ar	e bas	sed on	result	s of (deduc	tive]	Logic	•		•	298
252.	Connexions	of	elem	ents	reveal	ed in	sen	sible	expe	rience	are	most	tly	-
	impure	•	•	•	.•		•	, •	•	•	•	•	•	298

xviii

253.	The universality of a pure connexion or its character as a law of	1101
254.	nature guaranteed by the law of Identity . The raw matter of Inductions consists not of passive impressions but of perceptions already articulated by thought as subject and predicate	2,9
255.	and ranged under general conceptions They are so ranged by an incomplete analogy, based on a distinction of essential from non-essential remarks, which logical theory cannot	300
	assist	302
	In reaching universal inductions we must argue ad subalternantem .	305
257.	The truths of Geometry are universal because the diagram is used as a	
	symbol only of our conception	306
	The highest inductions not categorical but hypothetical judgments .	308
259.	Terms which are exclusively cause and effect of each other are related	
	as ground and consequent	309
260.	Experiment merely subsidiary to observation and has no peculiar	
261.	virtue of its own \cdot . Typical cases of the relation in which two phenomena C and E may	310
	stand to one another	312
	(1) C and E Co-Exist always.	0
	(2) C and E frequently concur.	
	(3) Absence of C not involving absence of E . Criticism of the	
	canon 'cessante causa cessat et effectus.'	
	(4) Presence of C not involving presence of E . Difference of	
	relation of cause and effect and of ground and consequent.	
	(5) Absence of C involving absence of E .	
	(6) Presence of E involving presence of C . Criticism of Newton's	
	canon 'effectuum naturalium ejusdem generis eaedem sunt	
	causae.' (b) Abarra of E involution abarra of C	
000	(7) Absence of E involving absence of C . Whether the phenomenon C is or only contains the cause of E can only	
202.	be decided by analysis of both into their elements and observation of	
	which elements of the one involve which elements of the other.	
		320
263	Typical examples of such analysis	240
200.	relations to exist between C and E can only be apprehended by	
	observation of the quantitative changes they cause in one another.	
	Examples of such quantitative correspondences	326
	Tumbres of same definition of the short of the state of t	<u>.</u>
	CHAPTER VIII.	
	THE DISCOVERY OF LAWS.	
264.	Science not content with discovering a mere connexion between two	
• • -	phenomena seeks to know the law of this connexion	332
265.	Laws of nature are universal hypothetical judgments and not assertions	
~~~	of universal matters-of-fact	333
200.	A law expresses an objective and intelligible connexion of phenomena,	
0.07	a rule is a mere subjective method of thought	335
407.	The ultimate criterion of sense-perception to be found in sense itself	336

b 2

XIX Page

		PAGE
268.	Facts as they appear are not only relative to one another but to the	
	standpoint of the observer, and must therefore be grasped as pro- jections of ulterior and truer facts	339
269.	A law always transcends the given, being an extension to cases not given of what holds good within the given. A truly universal law is	
	not a demonstrable truth	341
270.	Laws based on statistics are mostly partial truths	344
	The law which prima facie best fits in with observed facts need not	
	therefore be the truest expression of their inter-connexion	346
272.	Simplicity no guarantee for the truth of a law. The simplest law only	
	preferable where it is the sole conceivable one	347
273.	A <i>postulate lays down</i> the conditions under which alone the given appearance is conceivable. An <i>hypothesis</i> is a <i>suggestion</i> of conceivable	
	facts fulfilling the demands of the postulate and so explaining the	
	appearance. A fiction views the given as an approximate realisation	
	of a known law, in the absence of a known law to which it can be	
074	simply referred	349
2/4.	Rules for framing of hypotheses not to be laid down beforehand, but	
075	none to be rejected because beyond reach of refutation if false	352
279.	Hypotheses must satisfy their postulates and supply the conditions of	
070	the appearances to be explained	354
270.	An old hypothesis not to be hastily set aside but modified to suit the	6
077	new and discrepant facts	356
217.	An hypothesis must limit itself to asserting what is possible, i.e. what	
	can be conceived or pictured as matter-of-fact	358

### CHAPTER IX.

### DETERMINATION OF SINGLE FACTS AND CALCULUS OF CHANCES.

278.	In determining facts which transcend the immediate impression we	
	must be guided by probability	360
279.	In view of the complexity of things a principle of explanation must not	
	be too simple and abstract	360
280.	And on the other hand it must involve as few presuppositions as pos-	
	sible. Positive evidence preferable to negative	362
281.	The mathematical determination of chances assumes that they are all	
	equally possible, but that one of them must occur	364
282.	(1) Mathematical chance no positive prediction of events. It measures	
	our expectation of their occurrence	366
	(2) theory of composite chances.	
	(3) dependent chances.	
	(4) probability of alternative causes.	
	(5) probability of an event's recurrence.	
	(6) mathematical expectation.	

(7) moral expectation.

283.	Calculus	of	chances	not	onl	y presuppose	s the	laws	of	all	calc	ulat	ion
	such as	lav	v of Ider	ıtity	and	doctrine of	disjun	ctive j	iudg	gmer	ıt, b	out a	lso
	an orde	red	universe	e of i	inter	dependent ev	rents	· . ·					

377

284. Mathematical chance is our subjective expectation of an event, and not a permanent property thereof. The resulting chance improbable only

XX

as compared with the sum of its alternatives, not as compared with	PAGE
any one of them	379
285. Success of attempts made to test by experiment the calculus of chances	381
286. Such successful results not fraught with intelligible necessity, but the	
result of constant conditions operating among variable ones, which in	
the long run neutralise each other	383
287. Use of the calculus in cases where constant and variable causes of an	
often repeated event are unknown. Nature of so-called statistical laws	386
288. Use of the calculus in determining the probable accuracy of our observa-	
tions of magnitude. The method of the least squares	388

### CHAPTER X.

### OF ELECTIONS AND VOTING.

289. Conditions presupposed by a logical treatment of the proble		
expressing a collective will	• 393	
290. Defects of absolute majority	• 393	
291. The weight of votes. A majority of majorities may be a minor		
the whole constituency	· 394	
292. Voting so as to express intensities of Volition	• 397	
293. Election by elimination	. 400	
294. When order of putting proposals to the vote is important	• 401	
295. Rejection of innovations as such. 'Order of the day'	. 402	
296. Amendments and substantive motion. Order of putting prop	oosals	
to the vote $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	. 403	

## BOOK III.

## On Knowledge (Methodology).

### INTRODUCTION.

297. Analytic and Synthetic methods practically inseparable	406
298. Correspond respectively to Investigation and Exposition; are more	
general than 'methods' of applied Logic	408
299. But applied Logic, like common thought, rests on untested bases .	409
300. And so does science as we have it	410
301. Methodology however as treatment of Knowledge is enquiry into	
sources of certainty	411

### CHAPTER I.

### ON SCEPTICISM.

302.	Scepticism presupposes Truth and Knowledge	414
303.	But doubts whether our Knowledge is Truth. Descartes	416
304.	This doubt involves the assumption of a world of things which our	
	thought should copy.	418

					PAGE
305.	But any decision postulates the competence of thought		•		420
306.	Which can only be guided by conceptions in our minds				421
307.	Our delusion could only be revealed by fresh knowledge				422
308.	Which must be related to the old. Things are not knowle	dge o	f thin	egs	424
309.	That Things may not be what they seem, as a mere g	enera	l dou	ıbt,	
	is self-contradictory			•	426
310.	Sceptical arguments in Sextus Empiricus				427
311.	They involve the above difficulties				429
312.	Error in 'we only know phenomena'				431

### CHAPTER II.

### THE WORLD OF IDEAS.

313.	Genesis of Plato's doctrine of 'Ideas'				433
314.	The Ideas as Universal conceptions			•	435
315.	Possible knowledge of Ideas apart from question of Things				436
316.	Distinction between Existence, Occurrence, Validity				437
317.	Confusion of Existence and Validity in case of the Ideas .				440
318.	Ideas in what sense eternal, and independent of things .			•	44 I
319.	Aristotle on the Ideas. His universal too is ois ois				443
320.	Modern counterparts of the Ideas. Validity a difficult notion	ı	•		445
321.	'Ideas impart no motion' criticised; importance of Judgme	nts		•	446

### CHAPTER III.

### THE A PRIORI AND THE EMPIRICAL METHODS.

322.	Judging of knowledge by our notions of its origin an illusion	450
323.	Attempt to find a starting-point for knowledge. " 'Cogito, ergo sum'.	45 ²
	Innate Ideas; but are they true?	454
325.	Action of one thing on another implies Spontaneity in order to Re-	
	ceptivity	456
326.	Nature of mind is contributory in all elements of knowledge	457
327.	Both in simple Perception and in such ideas as that of causal connexion	458
328.	External reality must be criticised on ground of knowledge	460
329.	Universality and Necessity as marks of a priori knowledge	462
330.	Universal validity not derivable from repeated perceptions alone	463
331.	There may be spurious self-evidence, which is tested by thinking the	
	contradictory	465
332.	Use of psychological analysis in establishing first principles	467
333.	Even modern Psychology hardly helps Logic	468

### CHAPTER IV.

### REAL AND FORMAL SIGNIFICANCE OF LOGICAL ACTS.

334,	Thought must have some Real significance	•	•	•	472
835:	Comparison and distinction as acts resulting in Relation	s.			473
336.	Thought is symbolic and discursive				475
337.	How can a relation of <i>ideas</i> be objective			•	477
338.	Only as independent of individual mind. The case of 7	<i>hings</i>	•	•	478

xxiii

				PAGE
339. A universal cannot be realised, but has objective validity	•			481
340. Nominalism and Realism confuse Existence and Validity	•		•	483
341. The Reality of general notions is only validity	•		•	484
342. Conception not akin to object in structure, but in net result	•			485
343. Degrees of subjectivity in kinds of Judgment				488
344. Subjective character of Syllogism and Induction				49 <b>0</b>
345. Terms antithetic to 'Subjective' and 'Formal' .	•	•	•	492

### CHAPTER V.

### THE A PRIORI TRUTHS.

346.	The w	orld	of Kr	lowl	edge	and t	he wo	rld c	f Thi	ngs	•				495
347.	'Actu	al Re	ality	'; ad	lequa	cy of	Judg	ment	s to it	t .				•	497
	Applic										involv	ves (1	) So	me	
	giver	ı real	ity, v	vhich	i thoi	ight d	canno	t crea	ate			•	•	•	499
349.	(2) Th									ld; 1	altima	tely a	ı mat	ter	
	of fa			•											500
350.	And (	3) syr	theti	ic jud	lgme	n <b>ts</b> a	prior	i, as	basis	of k	nowle	edge o	of pa	rti-	·
		laws		÷	۰.		· .	•					÷		503
351.	Hume	's rest	rictio	on of	judg	ment	destr	oys a	ll jud	gmen	t.				504
	Mathe											ntity			505
	Illustr														507
	And b														509
	Meani							n prie	mi						511
	Self ev							<b>.</b>							513
357.	Intuiti	on is	opp	osed	to d	iscur	sive t	houg	ht—r	neans	imm	ediate	e app	re-	
	hens														514
358.	Self-ey	vident	Trut	ths r	equire	e to t	e disc	over	ed by	help	of an	alysis			516
	Pure N								. '	. •					517
360.	Gradu	al foi	mati	on o	f pure	idea	s of M	lotio	n and	Mas	s.				519
	Mecha											netry.	at o	nce	
		ical a									•				522
362.	In hig	her N	lecha	, inics,	Pro	of is o	one th	ing, a	and th	ie Ra	tio les	<i>ris</i> an	other		524
	Analy	tical	Kno												• •
		vledg		•	÷	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	526
364.	The si						ed no	ot be	a me	ere da	ıtum	of exp	perien	ce,	
		•			Synth		•	•	•	•	•_	•	•	•	528
365.	A synt	thetic	yet 1	neces	sary (	level	opmer	nt the	e supr	eme	goal c	of scie	nce	•	529
App	ENDIX		•												531
IND	εx													-	533