

Because Without Cause

*Non-Causal Explanations in Science
and Mathematics*

Marc Lange

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

■ CONTENTS

Preface

xi

PART I ■ Scientific Explanations by Constraint

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1 | What Makes a Scientific Explanation Distinctively Mathematical? | 3 |
| 1.1. | Distinctively Mathematical Explanations in Science as Non-Causal Scientific Explanations | 3 |
| 1.2. | Are Distinctively Mathematical Explanations Set Apart by Their Failure to Cite Causes? | 12 |
| 1.3. | Mathematical Explanations Do Not Exploit Causal Powers | 22 |
| 1.4. | How These Distinctively Mathematical Explanations Work | 25 |
| 1.5. | Elaborating My Account of Distinctively Mathematical Explanations | 32 |
| 1.6. | Conclusion | 44 |
| 2 | “There Sweep Great General Principles Which All the Laws Seem to Follow” | 46 |
| 2.1. | The Task: To Unpack the Title of This Chapter | 46 |
| 2.2. | Constraints versus Coincidences | 49 |
| 2.3. | Hybrid Explanations | 58 |
| 2.4. | Other Possible Kinds of Constraints besides Conservation Laws | 64 |
| 2.5. | Constraints as Modally More Exalted Than the Force Laws They Constrain | 68 |
| 2.6. | My Account of the Difference between Constraints and Coincidences | 72 |
| 2.7. | Accounts That Rule Out Explanations by Constraint | 86 |
| 3 | The Lorentz Transformations and the Structure of Explanations by Constraint | 96 |
| 3.1. | Transformation Laws as Constraints or Coincidences | 96 |
| 3.2. | The Lorentz Transformations Given an Explanation by Constraint | 100 |
| 3.3. | Principle versus Constructive Theories | 112 |
| 3.4. | How This Non-Causal Explanation Comes in Handy | 123 |
| 3.5. | How Explanations by Constraint Work | 128 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3.6. Supplying Information about the Source of a Constraint's Necessity | 136 |
| 3.7. What Makes a Constraint "Explanatorily Fundamental"? | 141 |
| Appendix: A Purely Kinematical Derivation of the Lorentz Transformations | 145 |
| 4 The Parallelogram of Forces and the Autonomy of Statics | 150 |
| 4.1. A Forgotten Controversy in the Foundations of Classical Physics | 150 |
| 4.2. The Dynamical Explanation of the Parallelogram of Forces | 154 |
| 4.3. Duchayla's Statical Explanation | 159 |
| 4.4. Poisson's Statical Explanation | 167 |
| 4.5. Statical Explanation under Some Familiar Accounts of Natural Law | 173 |
| 4.6. My Account of What Is at Stake | 178 |
| | |
| PART II ■ Two Other Varieties of Non-Causal Explanation in Science | |
| | |
| 5 Really Statistical Explanations and Genetic Drift | 189 |
| 5.1. Introduction to Part II | 189 |
| 5.2. Really Statistical (RS) Explanations | 190 |
| 5.3. Drift | 196 |
| | |
| 6 Dimensional Explanations | 204 |
| 6.1. A Simple Dimensional Explanation | 204 |
| 6.2. A More Complicated Dimensional Explanation | 209 |
| 6.3. Different Features of a Derivative Law May Receive Different Dimensional Explanations | 215 |
| 6.4. Dimensional Homogeneity | 219 |
| 6.5. Independence from Some Other Quantities as Part of a Dimensional Explanans | 221 |
| | |
| PART III ■ Explanation in Mathematics | |
| | |
| 7 Aspects of Mathematical Explanation: Symmetry, Saliency, and Simplicity | 231 |
| 7.1. Introduction to Proofs That Explain Why Mathematical Theorems Hold | 231 |
| 7.2. Zeitz's Biased Coin: A Suggestive Example of Mathematical Explanation | 234 |
| 7.3. Explanation by Symmetry | 238 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 7.4. A Theorem Explained by a Symmetry in the Unit Imaginary Number | 239 |
| 7.5. Geometric Explanations That Exploit Symmetry | 245 |
| 7.6. Generalizing the Proposal | 254 |
| 7.7. Conclusion | 268 |
| 8 Mathematical Coincidences and Mathematical Explanations That Unify | 276 |
| 8.1. What Is a Mathematical Coincidence? | 276 |
| 8.2. Can Mathematical Coincidence Be Understood without Appealing to Mathematical Explanation? | 283 |
| 8.3. A Mathematical Coincidence's Components Have No Common Proof | 287 |
| 8.4. A Shift of Context May Change a Proof's Explanatory Power | 298 |
| 8.5. Comparison to Other Proposals | 304 |
| 8.6. Conclusion | 311 |
| 9 Desargues's Theorem as a Case Study of Mathematical Explanation, Existence, and Natural Properties | 314 |
| 9.1. A Case Study | 314 |
| 9.2. Three Proofs—but Only One Explanation—of Desargues's Theorem in Two-Dimensional Euclidean Geometry | 315 |
| 9.3. Why Desargues's Theorem in Two-Dimensional Euclidean Geometry Is Explained by an Exit to the Third Dimension | 323 |
| 9.4. Desargues's Theorem in Projective Geometry: Unification and Existence in Mathematics | 327 |
| 9.5. Desargues's Theorem in Projective Geometry: Explanation and Natural Properties in Mathematics | 335 |
| 9.6. Explanation by Subsumption under a Theorem | 341 |
| 9.7. Conclusion | 345 |
| PART IV ■ Explanations in Mathematics and Non-Causal Scientific Explanations—Together | |
| 10 Mathematical Coincidence and Scientific Explanation | 349 |
| 10.1. Physical Coincidences That Are No Mathematical Coincidence | 349 |
| 10.2. Explanations from Common Mathematical Form | 350 |
| 10.3. Explanations from Common Dimensional Architecture | 361 |
| 10.4. Targeting New Explananda | 368 |

| | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 11 | What Makes Some Reducible Physical Properties Explanatory? | 371 |
| | 11.1. Some Reducible Properties Are Natural | 371 |
| | 11.2. Centers of Mass and Reduced Mass | 378 |
| | 11.3. Reducible Properties on Strevens's Account of Scientific Explanation | 381 |
| | 11.4. Dimensionless Quantities as Explanatorily Powerful Reducible Properties | 384 |
| | 11.5. My Proposal | 386 |
| | 11.6. Conclusion: All Varieties of Explanation as Species of the Same Genus | 394 |
| | <i>Notes</i> | 401 |
| | <i>References</i> | 461 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 483 |