

*Living Without Free Will*

DERK PEREBOOM

*University of Vermont*



**CAMBRIDGE**  
**UNIVERSITY PRESS**

# Contents

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| Acknowledgments   | page xi |
| Introduction: Hard incompatibilism                                      | xiii    |
| 1 Alternative possibilities and causal histories                        | 1       |
| Two incompatibilist intuitions  | 1       |
| Leeway vs. causal-history incompatibilism                               | 2       |
| Flickers and robustness   | 6       |
| A libertarian objection to Frankfurt-style arguments                    | 8       |
| Problems for recent attempts to answer Widerker                         | 10      |
| Frankfurt-style scenarios without prior signs                           | 14      |
| A new Frankfurt-style scenario  | 18      |
| A different kind of alternative-possibility condition                   | 23      |
| Ginet's challenge   | 28      |
| An objection to causal-history incompatibilism                          | 33      |
| A final word  | 36      |
| 2 Coherence objections to libertarianism                                | 38      |
| Introduction  | 38      |
| The Humean challenge to event-causal libertarianism                     | 41      |
| Randomizing manipulators  | 50      |
| Why the Humean challenge fails to undermine agent-causal libertarianism | 55      |
| Objections to the agent-causal theory's notion of causation             | 59      |
| A disparity between causation and explanation?                          | 63      |
| Galen Strawson's argument against the coherence of libertarianism       | 65      |
| The prospects for agent-causal libertarianism                           | 68      |
| 3 Empirical objections to agent-causal libertarianism                   | 69      |
| Introduction  | 69      |
| Problems for the ordinary nonreductivist strategy                       | 70      |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Strong emergentism and microphysical constraints                                       | 73  |
| Strong emergentism, non-physicalism, and the prospects for reconciliation with physics | 79  |
| Difficulties for the overriding approach   | 85  |
| Why invoking <i>ceteris paribus</i> laws fails to advance the libertarian's case       | 86  |
| Libertarianism and common sense  | 88  |
| <br>   |     |
| 4 Problems for compatibilism   | 89  |
| Challenging compatibilism  | 89  |
| The first route to compatibilism: Determinism as irrelevant to responsibility          | 90  |
| Criticisms of Strawson's view  | 94  |
| The second route to compatibilism: Causal integrationist conditions                    | 100 |
| Why these four compatibilist accounts can be undermined                                | 110 |
| Haji's response to an earlier version of the four-case argument                        | 117 |
| Fischer and Ravizza's proposed sufficient condition                                    | 120 |
| Strawson and Wallace on generalization strategies                                      | 123 |
| Conclusion   | 125 |
| <br>   |     |
| 5 The contours of hard incompatibilism   | 127 |
| Hard incompatibilism defined and defended  | 127 |
| Contrasts with similar views   | 128 |
| Agency   | 135 |
| Dispensing with blameworthiness and praiseworthiness                                   | 139 |
| Does hard incompatibilism undermine morality?  | 141 |
| Why hard incompatibilism is not restricted to consequentialism in ethics               | 148 |
| Moral worth  | 152 |
| Wrongdoing   | 154 |
| <br>   |     |
| 6 Hard incompatibilism and criminal behavior   | 158 |
| Is there an acceptable hard incompatibilist position on managing criminal behavior?    | 158 |
| Hard incompatibilism rules out retributivism   | 159 |
| Hard incompatibilism and the moral education theory                                    | 161 |
| Hard incompatibilism and deterrence theories: The utilitarian version                  | 166 |
| Deterrence justified by the right to self-defense                                      | 168 |
| Problems for the self-defense theory   | 172 |
| Quarantine and criminal detention  | 174 |
| Rehabilitation   | 178 |
| Reviewing the conclusions  | 186 |

|   |   |     |
|---|---|-----|
| 7 | Hard incompatibilism and meaning in life            | 187 |
|   | Introduction  | 187 |
|   | Life projects: Sartre and Honderich                 | 188 |
|   | Affirmation   | 191 |
|   | Can belief in free will be justified pragmatically? | 197 |
|   | Reactive attitudes and interpersonal relationships  | 199 |
|   | Guilt and repentance                                | 204 |
|   | The advantages of hard incompatibilism              | 207 |
|   | <br>  |     |
|   | Bibliography  | 215 |
|   | Index   | 225 |