

Neuroscience and Legal Responsibility

EDITED BY NICOLE A VINCENT

CONTENTS

Contributors vii

1. Law and Neuroscience: Historical Context 1
Nicole A Vincent
- PART 1 Responsibility and Mental Capacity 25
2. Common Criminal Law Compatibilism 27
Stephen J. Morse
3. What Can Neurosciences Say About Responsibility? Taking the Distinction Between Theoretical and Practical Reason Seriously 53
Anne Ruth Mackor
4. Irrationality, Mental Capacities, and Neuroscience 85
Jillian Craigie and Alicia Coram
- PART 2 Reappraising Agency 111
5. Skepticism Concerning Human Agency: Sciences of the Self Versus “Voluntariness” in the Law 113
Paul Sheldon Davies
6. The Implications of Heuristics and Biases Research on Moral and Legal Responsibility: A Case Against the Reasonable Person Standard 135
Leora Dahan-Katz
7. Moral Responsibility and Consciousness: Two Challenges, One Solution 163
Neil Levy
- PART 3 Assessment 181
8. Translating Scientific Evidence into the Language of the “Folk”: Executive Function as Capacity-Responsibility 183
Katrina L. Sifferd

9. Neuroscience, Deviant Appetites, and the Criminal Law 205
Colin Gavaghan
- PART 4 Disease and Disorder 227
10. Is Psychopathy a Mental Disease? 229
Thomas Nadelhoffer and Walter P. Sinnott-Armstrong
11. Addiction, Choice, and Disease: How Voluntary Is
Voluntary Action in Addiction? 257
Jeanette Kennett
12. How May Neuroscience Affect the Way that the Criminal
Courts Deal with Addicted Offenders? 279
Wayne Hall and Adrian Carter
- PART 5 Modification 303
13. Enhancing Responsibility 305
Nicole A Vincent
14. Guilty Minds in Washed Brains? Manipulation Cases and the Limits
of Neuroscientific Excuses in Liberal Legal Orders 335
Christoph Bublitz and Reinhard Merkel
- Index 375