

Ens Primum Cognitum in Thomas Aquinas and the Tradition

The Philosophy of Being as First Known

By

Brian A. Kemple



BRILL
RODOPI

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Introduction: Escaping the Framework of Modernity | 1 |
| 1 The Latin Thomists and <i>Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 20 |
| 1.1 The Early Dispute: Setting the Stage | 21 |
| 1.1.1 <i>The Scotistic Foil</i> | 22 |
| 1.2 Thomas Cajetan and the Doctrine of Being | 28 |
| 1.2.1 <i>Cajetan's Four Cognitions and Three Abstractions</i> | 29 |
| 1.2.2 <i>The Doctrine of Analogy</i> | 40 |
| 1.2.3 <i>Conclusion</i> | 50 |
| 1.3 John Poinsot, the Nature of Concepts and <i>Ens ut Primum Cognitum</i> | 51 |
| 1.3.1 <i>Objectivity and Conceptualization</i> | 54 |
| 1.3.2 <i>De Primo Cognito</i> | 72 |
| 1.3.3 <i>Objectivity and the Concept of Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 87 |
| 2 Recent Thomistic Interpretations of <i>Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 89 |
| 2.1 Étienne Gilson's "Metaphysical Realism" | 89 |
| 2.1.1 <i>Overcoming Critique</i> | 90 |
| 2.1.2 <i>Abstraction and the Nature of the Concept</i> | 99 |
| 2.1.3 <i>Realism vs. Idealism and the Question of Ens ut Primum Cognitum</i> | 106 |
| 2.2 Jacques Maritain | 110 |
| 2.2.1 <i>Maritain on Abstraction</i> | 110 |
| 2.2.2 <i>Concept Formation</i> | 124 |
| 2.2.3 <i>Maritain on Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 135 |
| 2.3 Conclusion | 141 |
| 3 The <i>Intellectus Agens</i> and Concept Formation | 143 |
| 3.1 St. Thomas and the <i>Obiectum Intellectus</i> | 146 |
| 3.1.1 <i>Ens, Ens ut Verum, and Ens Intelligibile</i> | 146 |
| 3.1.2 <i>Quod Quid Est, Quid Est, and Quod Quid Erat Esse</i> | 158 |
| 3.1.3 <i>Quidditas Rei and Quidditas Rei Materialis</i> | 163 |
| 3.2 <i>Intellectus Agens</i> | 170 |
| 3.2.1 <i>Illuminare</i> | 171 |
| 3.2.2 <i>Digression on Nature: Matter, Form, and Understanding</i> | 188 |
| 3.2.3 <i>Abstrahere</i> | 198 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4 The Discursion of Concept Formation | 216 |
| 4.1 The Discursion of Intellectual Discovery | 217 |
| 4.1.1 <i>From Pre-Philosophical Cognition to Philosophical Science</i> | 218 |
| 4.1.2 <i>Intellectual Discovery and the Philosophical Sciences</i> | 239 |
| 4.2 Formation of the <i>Verbum Mentis</i> | 248 |
| 4.2.1 <i>The Derivation of Primary Concepts</i> | 251 |
| 4.2.2 <i>Terminus of Intellectual Operation and Intentional Fundamentum</i> | 254 |
| 4.2.3 <i>Necessity of Composition</i> | 258 |
| 4.2.4 <i>Definition and Quiddity</i> | 262 |
| 4.3 A Recursive Analysis of the <i>Species Expressa</i> | 264 |
| 4.3.1 <i>True and False Concepts</i> | 264 |
| 4.3.2 <i>Species Expressae and Cognition-Dependent Objects</i> | 268 |
| 4.3.3 <i>What is Inessential to Things is Essential to Our Concepts</i> | 274 |
| 5 Relation and <i>Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 277 |
| 5.1 What is Relation? | 279 |
| 5.1.1 Relativa Secundum Esse | 282 |
| 5.1.2 Relativa Secundum Dici | 306 |
| 5.1.3 <i>The Constitution of Cognition-Dependent Relative Being</i> | 320 |
| 5.2 Relations and Objectivity | 325 |
| 5.2.1 <i>Intellectual Apprehension of Relations</i> | 326 |
| 5.2.2 <i>Interpretation and the Constitution of Objective Realities</i> | 327 |
| 5.2.3 “ <i>Reality</i> ”, “ <i>The Real</i> ”, and <i>Objective Constitution</i> | 330 |
| 6 The Nature of <i>Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 335 |
| 6.1 Summary of Argument | 335 |
| 6.2 The Nature of <i>Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 340 |
| 6.2.1 <i>St. Thomas and the Resolutio ad Ens Primum Cognitum</i> | 340 |
| 6.3 Conclusion | 349 |
| References | 353 |
| Cited | 353 |
| Selected | 369 |
| Index | 373 |