## CONTENTS

| INT | RODUCTION   | e 9       |
|-----|---|-----------|
|     | PART I: PARTICULARS   |           |
| ı.  | BODIES  | 15        |
|     | <ol> <li>The Identification of Particulars         [1] We identify particulars in speech. The identifiability of some kinds of particular may be dependent upon the identifi-     </li> </ol> | 15        |
|     | ability of other kinds. [2] Identification of particulars which are sensibly present. The identification of particulars which are not sensibly pre-   | 15        |
|     | sent raises a theoretical problem. Its solution. [3] The general conditions of particular-identification. These conditions are satisfiable because our knowledge of particulars               | 17        |
|     | forms a unified structure of a spatio-temporal character.   | 23        |
|     | 2. Reidentification [4] A condition of our possessing such a scheme of knowledge of particulars is the ability to reidentify particulars. Scepti-   | 31        |
|     | cism about reidentification.<br>[5] Reidentification of places.   | 3 I<br>36 |
|     | 3. Basic Particulars  | 38        |
|     | [6] A general argument to show that material bodies are the basic particulars from the point of view of identification. [7] Arguments to the same effect from the nature of different         | 38        |
|     | categories of particulars.  | 40        |
| 2.  | SOUNDS [1] Is the status of material bodies as basic particulars a necessary condition of any scheme whatever which provides for  | 59        |
|     | knowledge of objective particulars? [2] The character of this chapter. [3] The model of the auditory world. The problem of satisfy-   | 59<br>63  |
|     | ing the conditions of a non-solipsistic consciousness.  | 64        |

## Contents

|    | DED COME   | 87              |
|----|--|-----------------|
| 3. | PERSONS [1] Why are states of consciousness ascribed to anything? and  | 87              |
|    | why to the same thing as corporeal characteristics? [2] The unique position of the personal body in perceptual   | ,               |
|    | experience described; but this does not answer these questions.  | 90              |
|    | [3] Cartesian and 'No-ownership' views. The incoherence of   | _               |
|    | the No-ownership view.   | 94              |
|    | [4] A condition of the ascription of states of consciousness to oneself is ability to ascribe them to others. The incoherence of the Cartesian view. The primitiveness of the concept of a |                 |
|    | person.  | 98              |
|    | [5] The logical character of a fundamental class of personal   | -               |
|    | predicates. [6] The central importance of predicates ascribing actions.  | 103             |
|    | The idea of a 'group mind'.  | 110             |
|    | [7] Disembodiment.   | 115             |
| 4. | MONADS   | 117             |
|    | PART II: LOGICAL SUBJECTS  |                 |
|    |  |                 |
| 5. | SUBJECT AND PREDICATE (I): TWO CRITERIA  | 137             |
|    | [1] The distinction between particulars and universals is traditionally associated in a certain way with the distinction between reference and predication or between subject and          |                 |
|    | predicate.   | 137             |
|    | 1. The 'Grammatical' Criterion   | 139             |
|    | [2] Various forms of the reference-predication or subject-   | - ) )           |
|    | predicate distinction recognized by philosophers.  | 139             |
|    | [3] One prima facie attractive way of explaining the distinction is shown to be inadequate. Viewing it as a distinction between grammatical styles of term-introduction seems to yield     |                 |
|    | more satisfactory results.   | 142             |
|    | [4] Quine's distinction in terms of the variables of quantifi-   | ~-4-            |
|    | cation does not at first sight offer an alternative interpretation. [5] Final statement, on the present approach, of the conditions  | 153             |
|    | of an expression's being a subject- or predicate-expression. [6] The present, grammatical approach to the subject-pre-<br>dicate distinction, though it appears to harmonize with authori- | 158             |
|    | tative views, is not the only possible approach.   | 160             |
|    | [7] The grammatical approach encourages scepticism both  | - <del>-</del>  |
|    | about the importance of the subject-predicate distinction and about its traditional association with the particular-universal  |                 |
|    | distinction.   | 162             |
|    | /  | ** ** <b>**</b> |

## Contents

|   | 2.  | The Category Criterion  | 167 |
|---|-----|---|-----|
|   |     | [8] Characterizing, instantial and attributive ties: or different ways in which particular and universal terms may collect each other in assertions.  | 167 |
|   |     | [9] A new criterion for subjects and predicates, based on the differences between particulars and universals as principles of collection of terms in assertions. The new criterion guarantees   |     |
|   |     | the traditional association between the two distinctions.   | 171 |
|   | 3.  | Tensions and Affinities between these Criteria  | 173 |
|   | •   | [10] How the grammatical appearance of predicating a parti-<br>cular is avoided in certain cases of the assertion of a characteriz-   | , - |
|   |     | ing tie. [11] How the grammatical appearance of predicating a particular is avoided in certain cases of the assertion of an attribu-  | 173 |
|   |     | tive tie.   | 176 |
| • | SUI | BJECT AND PREDICATE (2): LOGICAL SUBJECTS   |     |
| • |     | AND PARTICULAR OBJECTS  | 180 |
|   | ۲.  | The Introduction of Particulars into Propositions   | 180 |
|   |     | [1] The introduction of a particular into a proposition requires knowledge of an empirical fact; the introduction of a uni-   | 100 |
|   |     | versal does not.  | 180 |
|   |     | [2] Affinity between the grammatical and categorial criteria for subject- and predicate-expressions in part explained by a mediating distinction between 'completeness' and 'incompleteness'. Once the fundamental association between the subject-predicate and particular-universal distinctions is established fundamental association distinctions. |     |
|   |     | lished, further extensions of the former distinction may be explained by anology etc.  [3] Further explanations of the idea of 'completeness': the  | 186 |
|   |     | the presuppositions of expressions introducing particular terms.  | 190 |
|   |     | [4] Consideration and rejection of a simplified form of the above theory.   | 194 |
|   | 2.  | The Introduction of Particulars into Discourse  | 198 |
|   |     | [5] Can the above account of the conditions of introducing particulars into propositions be supplemented with an account of the conditions of introducing particulars into discourse? The   |     |
|   |     | conditions of success in any such attempt.  | 198 |
|   |     | <b>-7</b>   |     |

## Contents

|            | [6] Feature-concepts and sortal universals: the introduction of basic particulars involves the adoption of criteria of re-                        |     |
|------------|---|-----|
|            | identification.<br>[7] The logical complexity of particulars and the 'complete-<br>ness' of logical subject-expressions. Particulars the paradigm | 202 |
|            | logical subjects.   | 210 |
| 7.         | LANGUAGE WITHOUT PARTICULARS  | 214 |
|            | [1] In a feature-placing language the subject-predicate dis-  |     |
|            | tinction has no place.  | 214 |
|            | [2] Problems involved in dispensing with ordinary particulars   | 217 |
|            | [3] Places, times and place-times as logical subjects.  | 221 |
| 8.         | LOGICAL SUBJECTS AND EXISTENCE  | 226 |
|            | [1] The grammatical index of appearance in a proposition  |     |
|            | as an individual or logical subject. Existential propositions.  | 226 |
|            | [2] Nominalism. Why reductionist pressure on non-parti-<br>cular individuals varies in strength for different types of non-                       |     |
|            | particular.   | 230 |
|            | [3] The nature and form of the nominalist drive. Quantifica-  | -   |
|            | tion and existence.   | 234 |
|            | [4] Existence and quantification.   | 239 |
|            | [5] Statements of identity. Plural subject-expressions. Refer-  |     |
|            | ence, predication and propositions.   | 242 |
| CONCLUSION |   | 246 |
| ואו        | NDEX  |     |