

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

page 9

PART I: PARTICULARS

I. BODIES	15
1. The Identification of Particulars	15
[1] <i>We identify particulars in speech. The identifiability of some kinds of particular may be dependent upon the identifiability of other kinds.</i>	15
[2] <i>Identification of particulars which are sensibly present. The identification of particulars which are not sensibly present raises a theoretical problem. Its solution.</i>	17
[3] <i>The general conditions of particular-identification. These conditions are satisfiable because our knowledge of particulars forms a unified structure of a spatio-temporal character.</i>	23
2. Reidentification	31
[4] <i>A condition of our possessing such a scheme of knowledge of particulars is the ability to reidentify particulars. Scepticism about reidentification.</i>	31
[5] <i>Reidentification of places.</i>	36
3. Basic Particulars	38
[6] <i>A general argument to show that material bodies are the basic particulars from the point of view of identification.</i>	38
[7] <i>Arguments to the same effect from the nature of different categories of particulars.</i>	40
2. SOUNDS	59
[1] <i>Is the status of material bodies as basic particulars a necessary condition of any scheme whatever which provides for knowledge of objective particulars?</i>	59
[2] <i>The character of this chapter.</i>	63
[3] <i>The model of the auditory world. The problem of satisfying the conditions of a non-solipsistic consciousness.</i>	64

Contents

3. PERSONS

- [1] *Why are states of consciousness ascribed to a why to the same thing as corporeal characteristics*
- [2] *The unique position of the personal body i experience described; but this does not answer the*
- [3] *Cartesian and 'No-ownership' views. The in the No-ownership view.*
- [4] *A condition of the ascription of states of con oneself is ability to ascribe them to others. The in the Cartesian view. The primitiveness of the c person.*
- [5] *The logical character of a fundamental class predicates.*
- [6] *The central importance of predicates ascrib. The idea of a 'group mind'.*
- [7] *Disembodiment.*

4. MONADS

PART II: LOGICAL SUBJECT

5. SUBJECT AND PREDICATE (I): TWO CRITERIA

- [1] *The distinction between particulars and un traditionally associated in a certain way with the between reference and predication or between su predicate.*
- 1. The 'Grammatical' Criterion
 - [2] *Various forms of the reference-predication o predicate distinction recognized by philosophers.*
 - [3] *One prima facie attractive way of explainin tinction is shown to be inadequate. Viewing it as a i between grammatical styles of term-introduction seem more satisfactory results.*
 - [4] *Quine's distinction in terms of the variables of cation does not at first sight offer an alternative interj*
 - [5] *Final statement, on the present approach, of the i of an expression's being a subject- or predicate-expre*
 - [6] *The present, grammatical approach to the sub dicate distinction, though it appears to harmonize with tative views, is not the only possible approach.*
 - [7] *The grammatical approach encourages sceptici about the importance of the subject-predicate distine about its traditional association with the particular- distinction.*

Contents

2.	The Category Criterion	167
	[8] <i>Characterizing, instantial and attributive ties: or different ways in which particular and universal terms may collect each other in assertions.</i>	167
	[9] <i>A new criterion for subjects and predicates, based on the differences between particulars and universals as principles of collection of terms in assertions. The new criterion guarantees the traditional association between the two distinctions.</i>	171
3.	Tensions and Affinities between these Criteria	173
	[10] <i>How the grammatical appearance of predicating a particular is avoided in certain cases of the assertion of a characterizing tie.</i>	173
	[11] <i>How the grammatical appearance of predicating a particular is avoided in certain cases of the assertion of an attributive tie.</i>	176
6.	SUBJECT AND PREDICATE (2): LOGICAL SUBJECTS AND PARTICULAR OBJECTS	180
1.	The Introduction of Particulars into Propositions	180
	[1] <i>The introduction of a particular into a proposition requires knowledge of an empirical fact: the introduction of a universal does not.</i>	180
	[2] <i>Affinity between the grammatical and categorial criteria for subject- and predicate-expressions in part explained by a mediating distinction between 'completeness' and 'incompleteness'. Once the fundamental association between the subject-predicate and particular-universal distinctions is established, further extensions of the former distinction may be explained by analogy etc.</i>	186
	[3] <i>Further explanations of the idea of 'completeness': the presuppositions of expressions introducing particular terms.</i>	190
	[4] <i>Consideration and rejection of a simplified form of the above theory.</i>	194
2.	The Introduction of Particulars into Discourse	198
	[5] <i>Can the above account of the conditions of introducing particulars into propositions be supplemented with an account of the conditions of introducing particulars into discourse? The conditions of success in any such attempt.</i>	198

Contents

[6] <i>Feature-concepts and sortal universals: the introduction of basic particulars involves the adoption of criteria of re-identification.</i>	202
[7] <i>The logical complexity of particulars and the 'completeness' of logical subject-expressions. Particulars the paradigm logical subjects.</i>	210
7. LANGUAGE WITHOUT PARTICULARS	214
[1] <i>In a feature-placing language the subject-predicate distinction has no place.</i>	214
[2] <i>Problems involved in dispensing with ordinary particulars</i>	217
[3] <i>Places, times and place-times as logical subjects.</i>	221
8. LOGICAL SUBJECTS AND EXISTENCE	226
[1] <i>The grammatical index of appearance in a proposition as an individual or logical subject. Existential propositions.</i>	226
[2] <i>Nominalism. Why reductionist pressure on non-particular individuals varies in strength for different types of non-particular.</i>	230
[3] <i>The nature and form of the nominalist drive. Quantification and existence.</i>	234
[4] <i>Existence and quantification.</i>	239
[5] <i>Statements of identity. Plural subject-expressions. Reference, predication and propositions.</i>	242
CONCLUSION	246
INDEX	251