

# Contents

Foreword / vii

I. Foundations	I
1. Exceptionless moral norms: few but strategic / 1	
2. Witnessed to by faith / 6	
3. Part of the theology of human fulfillment / 9	
4. Rejected when human replaces divine providence / 12	
5. No narrowing of horizons / 16	
6. Choice, reflexivity, and proportionalism / 20	
7. Protecting changeless aspects of human fulfillment / 24	
8. Negative norms but positive and revelatory / 28	
9. Rejection: some motivations and implications / 29	
II. Clarifications	31
1. <i>Intrinsice mala</i> : acts always wrong, but not by definition / 31	
2. Specified neither evaluatively nor physically/behaviorally / 37	
3. Opposed to reason and integral human fulfillment / 40	
4. Worse than suffering wrong / 47	
5. Proportionalist justifications: incoherent with rationally motivated free choice / 51	
6. The central case: intentional harm, always unreasonable / 54	
7. Deadly defense and death penalty: not necessarily proportionalist / 55	
III. Christian Witness	58
1. Free choice: a morally decisive reality / 58	
2. Evil: not to be chosen that good may come / 59	

3. Actions: morally specified by their objects  
(intentions) / 67
4. Intending human harm: never acceptable for God  
or man / 74
5. Counterexamples / 78
6. Responsibility for side effects: other principles  
and norms / 81

#### iv. Challenge and Response 84

1. Contraception and the general denial of absolutes / 84
2. Historical and ecclesiological skirmishes / 90
3. The main action: in philosophical theology / 93
4. Prudence misconceived: the absolutes aesthetically  
dissolved / 101
5. A summary conclusion / 105

Index / 107