Contents

	Editors' introduction	ν
	Introduction: Science and pseudoscience	I
I	Falsification and the methodology of scientific research	
	programmes	8
	1 Science: reason or religion?	8
	2 Fallibilism versus falsificationism	10
	a Dogmatic (or naturalistic) falsificationism. The empirical basis	12
	b Methodological falsificationism. The 'empirical basis'	20
	c Sophisticated versus naive methodological falsificationism.	
	A methodalogy of existing problems into	31
	a Negative beuristic: the 'hard core' of the programme	47
	h Positive heuristic: the construction of the 'protective belt' and the	40
	relative autonomy of theoretical science	49
	c Two illustrations: Prout and Bohr	52
	Prout: a research programme progressing in an ocean of anomalies Bohr: a research programme progressing on inconsistent	53
	foundations	55
	d A new look at crucial experiments: the end of instant rationality	68
	The Michelson-Morley experiment	73
	2 The Lummer-Pringsneim experiments	79
	A Conclusion. The requirement of continuous growth	86
	A The Popperian versus the Kuhnian research programme	00
	Appendix: Popper, falsificationism and the 'Duhem-Quine thesis'	90 93
2	History of science and its rational reconstructions	102
	Introduction	102
	1 Rival methodologies of science; rational reconstructions as guides to	
	history	103
	a Inductivism	103
	o Conventionalism c Methodological falsificationism	105
	d Methodology of scientific research programmes	110
	<i>e</i> Internal and external history	118
	2 Critical comparison of methodologies: history as a test of its rational	
	reconstructions	121
	a raisingationism as a meta-criterion; instory faisines faisingationism (and any other methodology)	100
	(and any other methodology)	1≥3

CONTENTS

	 b The methodology of historiographical research programmes. History to varying degrees - corroborates its rational reconstructions c Against aprioristic and anti-theoretical approaches to methodology d Conclusion 	131 136 138
3	Popper on demarcation and induction	139
	Introduction	130
	Popper on demarcation	140
	a Popper's game of science	140
	b How can one criticize the rules of the scientific game?	144
	c A quasi-Polanyiite 'falsification' of Popper's demarcation criterion	146
	d An amended demarcation criterion	148
	e An amended meta-criterion	151
	2 Negative and positive solutions to the problem of induction:	
	scepticism and fallibilism	154
	h A plea to Popper for a whiff of 'inductivism'	154
	v A pla to ropper for a while or inductivish	1 39
4	(with Elie Zahar) Why did Copernicus's research	
	programme supersede Ptolemy's?	168
	Introduction	168
	1 Empiricist accounts of the 'Copernican Revolution'	160
	2 Simplicism	173
	3 Polyanyiite and Feverabendian accounts of the Copernican revolution	176
	4 The Copernican revolution in the light of the methodology of scientific research programmes	, 178
	5 The Copernican revolution in the light of Zahar's new version of the	
	methodology of scientific research programmes	184
	6 A postscript on history of science and its rational reconstructions	189
5	Newton's effect on scientific standards	193
	The justificationist high road to psychologism and mysticism	193
	a Justificationism and its two poles: dogmatism and scepticism	193
	b Psychologistic justificationism	195
	c Justificationist fallibilism	198
	2 Newtonian methodology versus Newtonian method	201
	a Newton's problem: the clash between standards and acheivements	201
	o Newtonians against inclaphysical criticism	202
	d Newtonians and factual criticism	214
	e Newton's double legacy	220
	References	223
	Lakatos bibliography	237
	Indexes	240