Contents

Foreword *page* xiii

1 A cartesian introduction 1
1 Proofs, applications, and other mathematical activities 1
2 On jargon 2
3 Descartes 3
A Application 4
4 Arithmetic applied to geometry 4
5 Descartes' *Geometry* 5
6 An astonishing identity 6
7 Unreasonable effectiveness 6
8 The application of geometry to arithmetic 8
9 The application of mathematics to mathematics 9
10 The same stuff? 11
11 Over-determined? 12
12 Unity behind diversity 13
13 On mentioning honours – the Fields Medals 15
14 Analogy – and André Weil 1940 16
15 The Langlands programme 18
16 Application, analogy, correspondence 20
B Proof 21
17 Two visions of proof 21
18 A convention 21
19 Eternal truths 22
20 Mere eternity as against necessity 23
21 Leibnizian proof 23
22 Voevodsky's extreme 25
23 Cartesian proof 26
24 Descartes and Wittgenstein on proof 26
25 The experience of cartesian proof: *caveat emptor* 28

26 Grothendieck's cartesian vision: making it all obvious 29
27 Proofs *and* refutations 30
28 On squaring squares and not cubing cubes 32
29 From dissecting squares to electrical networks 34
30 Intuition 35
31 Descartes *against* foundations? 37
32 The two ideals of proof 38
33 Computer programmes: who checks whom? 40

2 What makes mathematics mathematics? 41
1 We take it for granted 41
2 Arsenic 42
3 Some dictionaries 43
4 What the dictionaries suggest 45
5 A Japanese conversation 47
6 A sullen anti-mathematical protest 48
7 A miscellany 48
8 An institutional answer 51
9 A neuro-historical answer 52
10 The Peirces, father and son 53
11 A programmatic answer: logicism 54
12 A second programmatic answer: Bourbaki 55
13 Only Wittgenstein seems to have been troubled 57
14 Aside on method – on using Wittgenstein 59
15 A semantic answer 60
16 More miscellany 61
17 Proof 62
18 Experimental mathematics 63
19 Thurston's answer to the question 'what makes?' 66
20 On advance 67
21 Hilbert and the Millennium 68
22 Symmetry 71
23 The Butterfly Model 72
24 Could 'mathematics' be a 'fluke of history'? 73
25 The Latin Model 74
26 Inevitable or contingent? 75
27 Play 76
28 Mathematical games, ludic proof 77

3 Why *is* there philosophy of mathematics? 79
1 A perennial topic 79
2 What is the philosophy of mathematics anyway? 80

3 Kant: in or out? 81
4 Ancient and Enlightenment 83
A An answer from the ancients: proof and exploration 83
5 The perennial philosophical obsession . . . 83
6 The perennial philosophical obsession . . . is totally anomalous 85
7 Food for thought (*Matière à penser*) 86
8 The Monster 87
9 Exhaustive classification 88
10 Moonshine 89
11 The longest proof by hand 89
12 The experience of out-thereness 90
13 Parables 91
14 Glitter 91
15 The neurobiological retort 92
16 My own attitude 93
17 Naturalism 94
18 Plato! 96
B An answer from the Enlightenment: application 97
19 Kant shouts 97
20 The jargon 98
21 Necessity 99
22 Russell trashes necessity 100
23 Necessity no longer in the portfolio 102
24 Aside on Wittgenstein 103
25 Kant's question 104
26 Russell's version 105
27 Russell dissolves the mystery 106
28 Frege: number a second-order concept 107
29 Kant's conundrum becomes a twentieth-century dilemma: (a) Vienna 108
30 Kant's conundrum becomes a twentieth-century dilemma: (b) Quine 109
31 Ayer, Quine, and Kant 110
32 Logicizing philosophy of mathematics 111
33 A nifty one-sentence summary (Putnam redux) 112
34 John Stuart Mill on the need for a sound philosophy of mathematics 113

4 Proofs 115
1 The contingency of the philosophy of mathematics 115
A Little contingencies 116
2 On inevitability and 'success' 116
3 Latin Model: infinity 117
4 Butterfly Model: complex numbers 119
5 Changing the setting 121

B Proof 122
6 The discovery of proof 122
7 Kant's tale 123
8 The other legend: Pythagoras 126
9 Unlocking the secrets of the universe 127
10 Plato, theoretical physicist 129
11 Harmonics works 130
12 Why there was uptake of demonstrative proof 131
13 Plato, kidnapper 132
14 Another suspect? Eleatic philosophy 133
15 Logic (and rhetoric) 135
16 Geometry and logic: esoteric and exoteric 136
17 Civilization without proof 137
18 Class bias 138
19 Did the ideal of proof impede the growth of knowledge? 139
20 What gold standard? 140
21 Proof demoted 141
22 A style of scientific reasoning 142

5 Applications 144
1 Past and present 144
A The emergence of a distinction 144
2 Plato on the difference between philosophical and practical mathematics 144
3 Pure and mixed 146
4 Newton 148
5 Probability – swinging from branch to branch 149
6 *Rein* and *angewandt* 150
7 Pure Kant 151
8 Pure Gauss 152
9 The German nineteenth century, told in aphorisms 153
10 Applied *polytechniciens* 153
11 Military history 156
12 William Rowan Hamilton 158
13 Cambridge pure mathematics 160
14 Hardy, Russell, and Whitehead 161
15 Wittgenstein and von Mises 162
16 SIAM 163
B A very wobbly distinction 164
17 Kinds of application 164
18 Robust but not sharp 168

19 Philosophy and the *Apps* 169
20 Symmetry 171
21 The representational–deductive picture 172
22 Articulation 174
23 Moving from domain to domain 174
24 Rigidity 176
25 Maxwell and Buckminster Fuller 176
26 The maths of rigidity 179
27 Aerodynamics 181
28 Rivalry 182
29 The British institutional setting 184
30 The German institutional setting 186
31 Mechanics 187
32 Geometry, 'pure' and 'applied' 188
33 A general moral 188
34 Another style of scientific reasoning 189

6 In Plato's name 191
1 Hauntology 191
2 Platonism 191
3 *Webster's* 193
4 Born that way 193
5 Sources 194
6 Semantic ascent 195
7 Organization 196
A Alain Connes, Platonist 197
8 Off-duty and off-the-cuff 197
9 Connes' archaic mathematical reality 198
10 Aside on incompleteness and platonism 201
11 Two *attitudes*, structuralist and Platonist 202
12 What numbers could not be 203
13 Pythagorean Connes 205
B Timothy Gowers, anti-Platonist 206
14 A very public mathematician 206
15 Does mathematics need a philosophy? No 207
16 On becoming an anti-Platonist 208
17 Does mathematics need a philosophy? Yes 209
18 Ontological commitment 211
19 Truth 212
20 Observable and abstract numbers 213
21 Gowers versus Connes 215

22 The 'standard' semantical account 216
23 The famous maxim 218
24 Chomsky's doubts 220
25 On referring 221

7 Counter-platonisms 223
1 Two more platonisms – and their opponents 223
A Totalizing platonism as opposed to intuitionism 224
2 Paul Bernays (1888–1977) 224
3 The setting 225
4 Totalities 227
5 Other totalities 228
6 Arithmetical and geometrical totalities 230
7 Then and now: different philosophical concerns 231
8 Two more mathematicians, Kronecker and Dedekind 232
9 Some things Dedekind said 233
10 What was Kronecker protesting? 235
11 The structuralisms of mathematicians and philosophers distinguished 236
B Today's platonism/nominalism 238
12 Disclaimer 238
13 A brief history of nominalism now 238
14 The nominalist programme 239
15 Why deny? 241
16 Russellian roots 242
17 Ontological commitment 244
18 Commitment 245
19 The indispensability argument 246
20 Presupposition 248
21 Contemporary platonism in mathematics 250
22 Intuition 252
23 What's the point of platonism? 253
24 Peirce: The only kind of thinking that has ever advanced human culture 254
25 Where do I stand on today's platonism/nominalism? 256
26 The last word 256

Disclosures 258
References 262
Index 281