

## CONTENTS

PREFACE . . . . .	v
PROGRAMME OF THE COLLOQUIUM . . . . .	vii
A. SZABÓ: GREEK DIALECTIC AND EUCLID'S AXIOMATICS	1
DISCUSSION:	
W. C. KNEALE: Priority in the use of <i>reductio ad absurdum</i> . . . . .	9
L. KALMÁR: The Greeks and the excluded third . . . . .	10
A. ROBINSON: The Greeks and the excluded third . . . . .	11
J. R. LUCAS: Plato and the axiomatic method . . . . .	11
P. BERNAYS: Some doubts about the Eleatic origin of Euclid's axiomatics . . . . .	14
G. J. WHITROW: The mythical origins of Euclidean geometry . . . . .	16
K. R. POPPER: The cosmological origins of Euclidean geometry . . . . .	18
A. SZABÓ: Reply . . . . .	20
A. ROBINSON: THE METAPHYSICS OF THE CALCULUS . . . . .	28
DISCUSSION:	
P. GEACH: Infinity in scholastic philosophy . . . . .	41
H. FREUDENTHAL: Technique versus metaphysics in the calculus . . . . .	42
A. HEYTING: Technique versus metaphysics in the calculus . . . . .	43
Y. BAR-HILLEL: The irrelevance of ontology to mathematics . . . . .	44
M. BUNGE: Non-standard analysis and the conscience of the physicist . . . . .	44
A. ROBINSON: Reply . . . . .	45
F. SOMMERS: ON A FREGEAN DOGMA . . . . .	47
DISCUSSION:	
L. KALMÁR: Not Fregean and not a dogma . . . . .	63
M. DUMMETT: A comment on 'On a Fregean dogma' . . . . .	63
C. LEJEWSKI: The logical form of singular and general statements . . . . .	68
W. V. QUINE: Three remarks . . . . .	70
F. SOMMERS: Reply . . . . .	71

A. MOSTOWSKI: RECENT RESULTS IN SET THEORY . . . . .	82
<b>DISCUSSION:</b>	
G. KREISEL: Comments . . . . .	97
A. ROBINSON: Comments . . . . .	103
L. KALMÁR: On the role of second order theories . . . . .	104
A. MOSTOWSKI: Reply . . . . .	105
P. BERNAYS: WHAT DO SOME RECENT RESULTS IN SET THEORY SUGGEST? . . . . .	109
<b>DISCUSSION:</b>	
A. MOSTOWSKI: Cohen's independence proof and second order formalisation . . . . .	113
Y. BAR-HILLEL: The dangers of Platonistic modes of speech . . . . .	114
P. SUPPES: After set theory, what? . . . . .	115
P. BERNAYS: Reply . . . . .	116
S. KÖRNER: ON THE RELEVANCE OF POST-GÖDELIAN MATHEMATICS TO PHILOSOPHY . . . . .	118
<b>DISCUSSION:</b>	
G. H. MÜLLER: An old philosophical question – and the recent results in the foundations of mathematics . . . . .	133
Y. BAR-HILLEL: On a neglected ontology-free philosophy of mathematics . . . . .	136
S. KÖRNER: Reply . . . . .	136
G. KREISEL: INFORMAL RIGOUR AND COMPLETENESS PROOFS . . . . .	138
<b>DISCUSSION:</b>	
Y. BAR-HILLEL: Obtaining axioms by reflection . . . . .	172
A. HEYTING: Informal rigour and intuitionism . . . . .	172
J. MYHILL: Remarks on continuity and the thinking subject . . . . .	173
G. KREISEL: Reply . . . . .	175
L. KALMÁR: FOUNDATIONS OF MATHEMATICS – WHITHER NOW? . . . . .	187

## DISCUSSION:

A. HEYTING: Weyl on experimental testing of mathematics . . . . .	195
S. C. KLEENE: Empirical mathematics? . . . . .	195
P. BERNAYS: Mathematics and mental experience . . . . .	196
Y. BAR-HILLEL: Is mathematical empiricism still alive? . . . . .	197
I. LAKATOS: A renaissance of empiricism in the recent philosophy of mathematics? . . . . .	199
L. KALMÁR: Reply . . . . .	203

J. A. EASLEY, JR.: LOGIC AND HEURISTIC IN MATHEMATICS  
CURRICULUM REFORM . . . . .

208

## DISCUSSION:

P. SUPPES: The central role of empirical knowledge in curriculum reform . . . . .	231
L. KALMÁR: Mathematics teaching experiments in Hungary . . . . .	233
J. A. EASLEY, JR.: Reply . . . . .	238