

Contents

Notes on Contributors	viii
Preface to the Second Edition	xiii
Preface to the First Edition	xiv
1 Should Knowledge Come First?	1
Knowledge First <i>Timothy Williamson</i>	1
What Is Knowledge-first Epistemology? <i>Trent Dougherty and Patrick Rysiew</i>	10
Experience First <i>Trent Dougherty and Patrick Rysiew</i>	17
Knowledge Still First <i>Timothy Williamson</i>	22
Still Nowhere Else to Start <i>Trent Dougherty and Patrick Rysiew</i>	25
2 Is Knowledge Closed under Known Entailment?	27
The Case against Closure <i>Fred Dretske</i>	27
The Case for Closure <i>John Hawthorne</i>	40
Reply to Hawthorne <i>Fred Dretske</i>	56
3 Is Knowledge Contextual?	60
Contextualism Contested <i>Earl Conee</i>	60
Contextualism Defended <i>Stewart Cohen</i>	69
Contextualism Contested Some More <i>Earl Conee</i>	75
Contextualism Defended Some More <i>Stewart Cohen</i>	79
4 Do Practical Matters Affect Whether You Know?	84
Practical Matters Affect Whether You Know <i>Jeremy Fantl and Matthew McGrath</i>	84
Practical Matters Do Not Affect Whether You Know <i>Baron Reed</i>	95

5 Can Skepticism Be Refuted?	107
The Refutation of Skepticism <i>Jonathan Vogel</i>	108
The Challenge of Refuting Skepticism <i>Richard Fumerton</i>	120
6 Are Intellectually Virtuous Motives Essential to Knowledge?	133
Knowledge Need Not Be Virtuously Motivated <i>Jason Baehr</i>	133
Knowledge and the Motive for Truth <i>Linda Zagzebski</i>	140
Reply to Zagzebski <i>Jason Baehr</i>	146
Reply to Baehr <i>Linda Zagzebski</i>	149
7 Can Knowledge Be Lucky?	152
Knowledge Cannot Be Lucky <i>Duncan Pritchard</i>	152
Knowledge Can Be Lucky <i>Stephen Hetherington</i>	164
8 Is There a Priori Knowledge?	177
In Defense of the a Priori <i>Laurence Bonjour</i>	177
There Is No a Priori <i>Michael Devitt</i>	185
Reply to Devitt <i>Laurence Bonjour</i>	195
Reply to Bonjour <i>Michael Devitt</i>	197
Last Rejoinder <i>Laurence Bonjour</i>	200
9 Is There Immediate Justification?	202
There Is Immediate Justification <i>James Pryor</i>	202
There Is No Immediate Justification <i>Juan Comesaña</i>	222
Reply to Comesaña <i>James Pryor</i>	235
Reply to Pryor <i>Juan Comesaña</i>	239
10 Can Belief Be Justified Through Coherence Alone?	244
Non-foundationalist Epistemology: Holism, Coherence, and Tenability <i>Catherine Z. Elgin</i>	244
Why Coherence Is Not Enough: A Defense of Moderate Foundationalism <i>James Van Cleve</i>	255
Reply to Van Cleve <i>Catherine Z. Elgin</i>	267
Reply to Elgin <i>James Van Cleve</i>	271
11 Is Infitism the Solution to the Regress Problem?	274
Infitism Is the Solution to the Regress Problem <i>Peter Klein</i>	274
Infitism Is Not the Solution to the Regress Problem <i>Carl Ginet</i>	283
Reply to Ginet <i>Peter Klein</i>	291
Reply to Klein <i>Carl Ginet</i>	295
12 Can Evidence Be Permissive?	298
Evidence Can Be Permissive <i>Thomas Kelly</i>	298
Evidence Cannot Be Permissive <i>Roger White</i>	312

13 Is Justification Internal?	324
Justification Is Not Internal <i>John Greco</i>	325
Justification Is Internal <i>Richard Feldman</i>	337
14 Is Truth the Primary Epistemic Goal?	351
Truth Is Not the Primary Epistemic Goal <i>Jonathan L. Kvanvig</i>	352
Truth as the Primary Epistemic Goal: A Working Hypothesis <i>Marian David</i>	363
Index	378