

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xi</i>
Introduction: The Forgotten Easy Approach	1
I.1. The Historical Backstory	4
I.2. The Rise of Neo-Quineanism	13
I.3. The Easy Approach to Ontology: A Preliminary Sketch	20
I.4. The Plan of This Book	22

PART I DEVELOPING EASY ONTOLOGY

1. Whatever Happened to Carnapian Deflationism?	29
1.1. Carnap's Approach to Existence Questions	30
1.2. Quine and the Ascendency of Ontology	45
1.3. Putnam Takes Deflationism on an Unfortunate Turn	56

1.4. 'Exists' as a Formal Notion: A Brief History	63
1.5. Is Carnap Committed to Quantifier Variance?	69
1.6. Conclusion	80
2. The Unbearable Lightness of Existence	82
2.1. A Core Rule of Use for 'Exists'	83
2.2. What Are Application Conditions?	89
2.3. Do Application Conditions for 'K' Include That Ks Exist?	96
2.4. Answering Existence Questions Easily	112
2.5. Against Substantive Criteria of Existence	115
2.6. Lines of Reply	122
3. Easy Ontology and Its Consequences	127
3.1. Using Trivial Inferences to Answer Existence Questions	129
3.2. Three Forms of Easy Ontology	132
3.3. First Result: Simple Realism	145
3.4. Second Result: Metaontological Deflationism	158
4. Other Ways of Being Suspicious	161
4.1. Denying That Ontological Disputes Are Genuine Disputes	162
4.2. Denying That We Can Know the Answers	166
4.3. Denying That There Are Answers to Know	168
4.4. Understanding Hard Ontology	172
5. Fictionalism versus Deflationism	177
5.1. Motives for Fictionalism	181
5.2. The Fictionalist's Case against Easy Arguments	183
5.3. A Problem for the Fictionalist's Analogy	186
5.4. How the Fictionalist Incurs a Debt	194
5.5. A Reply for the Fictionalist	198
5.6. The Deflationary Alternative	200
5.7. Conclusion	205

CONTENTS

PART II
DEFENDING EASY ONTOLOGY

6. Do Easy Arguments Give Us Problematic Ontological Commitments?	211
6.1. Are We Over-Committed?	212
6.2. Why Easy Arguments Require No Magic	215
6.3. Do We Get the Objects We Wanted?	221
6.4. Conclusion	230
7. Do Doubts about Conceptual Truths Undermine Easy Arguments?	231
7.1. Why Easy Ontology Needs Conceptual Truths	232
7.2. Williamson's Attack on Analyticity	235
7.3. How Easy Inferences Survive	238
7.4. Caveats and Conclusions	248
8. Are Easy Arguments Threatened by the Bad Company Objection?	253
8.1. The Bad Company Challenge for the Easy Approach	257
8.2. Avoiding Bad Company	260
8.3. The Limited Impact of Bad Company Objections	267
9. Do Easy Arguments Fail to Answer Ontological Questions?	272
9.1. Hofweber's Solution to the Puzzle about Ontology	273
9.2. Focus and Ontology	277
9.3. Ways to Read the Quantifier	286
10. Can Hard Ontological Questions Be Revived in Ontologese?	295
10.1. Existence Questions in Ontologese	296
10.2. Just More Metaphysics?	299

CONTENTS

10.3. Avoiding the Joint-Carving Quantifier	304
10.4. Problematizing the Joint-Carving Quantifier	308
Conclusion: The Importance of Not Being Earnest	318
C.1. The Empirical, Conceptual, and Pragmatic Case for Deflationism	320
C.2. Metaphysics in a New Key?	325
<i>Bibliography</i>	331
<i>Index</i>	341